

MEMORANDUM



RANCHO PALOS VERDES

TO: RANCHO PALOS VERDES CITY COUNCIL
FROM: CAROLYNN PETRU, ACTING CITY MANAGER *CP*
DATE: FEBRUARY 11, 2015
SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 15-06

I. CITY MANAGER AND DEPARTMENT REPORTS (See Attachments)

- **CITY MANAGER – PAGE 5**
 - Medical Marijuana Issues & Legislation
- **FINANCE – No report this week**
- **PUBLIC WORKS – PAGE 23**
 - Residential Streets Rehabilitation Construction Project Continues
 - Annual Sidewalk Repair Project Concludes
 - DPW Maintenance
 - IMAC Meeting
 - Tree Maintenance Focus Group – Second Meeting
- **COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT – PAGE 29**
 - Trump National Golf Course Waterfall Removal Project
 - Marymount – Proposed Athletic Field Project Netting Mock-up
 - Planning Commission Follow-up Agenda
 - Applications of Note
- **RECREATION & PARKS – PAGE 41**
 - Spring Junior Rangers Complete Orientation
 - Parks Master Plan Workshop - Hesse Park
 - Palos Verdes Nature Preserve
 - Extend Park Hours – February School Holidays
 - Park Events

II. CORRESPONDENCE AND INFORMATION RECEIVED (See Attachments)

- A. Tentative Agendas – PAGE 47**
- B. Channel 33 Programming Schedule – PAGE 53**
- C. Channel 35 Programming Schedule – PAGE 54**
- D. Crime Report – No report this week**

February 2015

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
1	2	3 7:00 pm—City Council Meeting @ Hesse Park	4 7:00 pm—9:00 pm—Parks Master Plan Update/Focus on Gateway #2 @ Ladera Linda Park	5 6:00 pm—Lower Point Vicente Park Improvement Public Workshop #1 @ PVIC 6:30 pm—City Selection Meeting @ LA County Metro Transportation Authority Boardroom (3rd Floor) (Brooks)	6	7
8	9	10 7:00 pm—Planning Commission Meeting @ Hesse Park	11 11:30 am—State of the County Luncheon w/Don Knabe @ PV Golf Club (Knight) 7:00 pm—9:00 pm—Parks Master Plan Update/Focus on Hesse Park #2 @ Hesse Park 7:00 pm—ACLAD Meeting @ City Hall Community Room	12 8:00 am—Regional Law Enforcement Meeting @ RH City Hall (Brooks/Misetich) 6:00 pm—IMAC Meeting @ Hesse Park	13	14 8:15 am—Hike With Councilman Campbell—Families Welcome Contact b.camp@cox.net for each month starting location
15	16	17 7:00 pm—City Council Meeting @ Hesse Park	18 12:00 pm—Mayor's Lunch @ The Depot (Knight) 1:30 pm—Sanitation District Meeting (Knight) 7:00 pm—9:00 pm—Parks Master Plan Update/Focus on General Master Plan # 1 @ Ladera Linda Park	19 7:00 pm—Emergency Preparedness Committee Meeting—City Hall Community Room	20	21
22	23 7:00 pm—Traffic Safety Committee Meeting @ Point Vicente Interpretive Center Sunset Room	24 7:00 pm—Planning Commission Meeting @ Hesse Park	25 7:00 pm—9:00 pm—Parks Master Plan Update/Focus on General Master Plan # 2 @ Hesse Park	26	27 7:30 am—Mayor's Breakfast @ Coco's (Knight/Campbell) 8:45 am—2:30 pm - SBCCOG General Assembly @ Millender—McDonald Community Center in Carson	28

March 2015

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
1	2	3 7:00 pm—City Council Meeting @ Hesse Park	4 6:00 pm—Preserve Public Forum @ City Hall Community Room <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">Planning Commissioners Academy @ Fairmont Newport Beach (Rojas)</div>	5	6	7
8	9	10 7:00 pm—Planning Commission Meeting @ Hesse Park	11 7:00 pm—ACLAD Meeting @ City Hall Community Room	12 6:00 pm—9:00 pm – Salute to Business @ Trump National Golf Club (Willmore) 6:00 pm—IMAC Meeting @ Hesse Park	13	14 8:15 am—Hike With Councilman Campbell—Families Welcome Contact b.camp@cox.net for each month starting location
15	16	17 7:00 pm—City Council Meeting @ Hesse Park	18 12:00 pm—Mayor's Lunch @ The Depot (Knight) 1:30 pm—Sanitation District Meeting (Knight)	19 7:00 pm—Emergency Preparedness Committee Meeting—City Hall Community Room	20	21
22	23 7:00 pm—Traffic Safety Committee Meeting @ Point Vicente Interpretive Center Sunset Room	24 7:00 pm—Planning Commission Meeting @ Hesse Park	25 6:00 pm—Lower Point Vicente Improvement Public Workshop #2 @ PVIC	26	27 7:30 am—Mayor's Breakfast @ Coco's (Knight/Duhovic)	28 10:00 am—11:00 am—Egg Hunt Eggstravaganza @ Ladera Linda Community Center
29	30	31 7:00 pm—City Council Adj. Meeting @ Hesse Park				

April 2015

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
			1	2	3	4 <i>10:00 am—4:00 pm - Whale of a Day @ PVIC</i>
5	6	7 <i>7:00 pm—City Council Meeting @ Hesse Park</i>	8	9 <i>6:00 pm—IMAC Meeting @ Hesse Park</i>	10	11 <i>8:15 am—Hike With Councilman Campbell—Families Welcome Contact b.camp@cox.net for each month starting location</i>
12	13	14 <i>7:00 pm—Planning Commission Meeting @ Hesse Park</i>	15 <i>12:00 pm—Mayor's Lunch @ The Depot (Knight)</i> <i>1:30 pm—Sanitation District Meeting (Knight)</i>	16 <i>7:00 pm—Emergency Preparedness Committee Meeting—City Hall Community Room</i>	17	18 <i>8:00 am—11:00 am—EDCO Shredding/E-waste Day @ City Hall Civic Center Parking Lot</i>
19	20	21 <i>7:00 pm—City Council Meeting @ Hesse Park</i>	22	23	24 <i>7:30 am—Mayor's Breakfast @ Coco's (Knight/Misetich)</i>	25 <i>9:00 am—3:00 pm—HHW/Electronics Waste Roundup @ City Yard, City Hall</i>
26	27 <i>7:00 pm—Traffic Safety Commission @ City Hall Community Room</i>	28 <i>7:00 pm—Planning Commission Meeting @ Hesse Park</i>	29	30		



RANCHO PALOS VERDES

MEMORANDUM

TO: HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL
FROM: CAROLYNN PETRU, ACTING CITY MANAGER
DATE: FEBRUARY 11, 2015
SUBJECT: WEEKLY ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT

CP

MEDICAL MARIJUANA ISSUES AND LEGISLATION

On February 10, 2015, Staff received the attached information from the League of California Cities (League) about its latest attempt to sponsor legislation to regulate medical marijuana and dispensaries. As the City Council may recall, the League and the California Police Chiefs Association (CPCA) co-sponsored Senate Bill No. 1262 (SB 1262) in the last session of the State legislature. Unfortunately, SB 1262 did not make it to Governor Brown's desk for his signature.

The League and CPCA will be trying again this session to enact statewide medical marijuana regulation in a manner that preserves local control and protects public health, safety and welfare. Assembly Bill No. 266 (AB 266) proposes to establish an improved regulatory structure to ensure that Proposition 215 (the Compassionate Use Act of 1996) works as originally envisioned to assist patients with legitimate medical needs, in a manner that comports with the needs of law enforcement, local governments, patient advocates, local community organizations and medical professionals.

The City of Rancho Palos Verdes does not ban medical marijuana *per se*, but our Municipal Code essentially prohibits the issuance of a business license or business permit for marijuana-related businesses. Section 5.10.010 of the Rancho Palos Verdes Municipal Code reads as follows:

"Marijuana dispensary, store, or co-op" shall be broadly and liberally interpreted to mean and include any person who sells marijuana, trades marijuana, exchanges marijuana, barter for marijuana in any way, or makes marijuana available to the public, whether for medicinal or any other purpose, or who, in connection with the foregoing, delivers, locates, stores, places, processes, or cultivates marijuana.

Based upon this definition, the City's current regulations apply to both storefront and mobile operations, as well as to cultivation. Section 5.10.020.D of the Rancho Palos Verdes Municipal Code goes on to state:

No business permit issued by the city pursuant to this chapter shall be construed as authorizing any conduct of or continuance of any illegal or unlawful business or practice, or the furnishing, sale, or provisioning of

any service, good, or product that is illegal or prohibited under the laws of the United States, the laws of the state of California, the federal and state constitutions, this Code, or any other applicable law, ordinance, rule or regulation. Any such business permit shall be void.

Therefore, so long as medical marijuana remains illegal under Federal law, the City cannot and will not issue a business permit for such businesses. However, late last year, Congress passed the FY 2015 omnibus appropriations package that included policy language prohibiting the Department of Justice from using Federal funds to enforce Federal marijuana laws in states where medicinal use is legal (see attached League bulletin). As noted in the League bulletin, this Federal policy change could affect the City's current regulations regarding medical marijuana dispensaries. The City Attorney's Office is analyzing the issue and options for the City Council to consider.

To Staff's knowledge, there are no dispensaries—legal or illegal—in Rancho Palos Verdes. However, we note that 15th District Los Angeles City Councilmember Joe Buscaino recently announced that the City of Los Angeles has shut down sixteen (16) dispensaries in the 15th District.

If any member of the City Council desires to take a formal position regarding AB 266 and/or to address any other issues related to medical marijuana in Rancho Palos Verdes, please let Staff know and we will agendaize the matter for a future City Council meeting.



February 10, 2015

The Honorable Ken Cooley
California State Assembly
State Capitol, Room 3146
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Assemblyman Cooley:

Assembly Bill 266 is co-sponsored by the California Police Chiefs Association and the League of California Cities. The policy underlying this bill is the need for substantial reform of California's medical marijuana program as defined by the Compassionate Use Act of 1996 (Proposition 215) and SB 420 (Chapter 875, Statutes of 2003). There is also a pressing need for a robust regulatory and enforcement framework in the wake of clear signals from the U.S. Department of Justice that such a framework be established in any state allowing medical marijuana. Although initially presented to voters as another medical remedy for patients in need, the implementation of Proposition 215 has been marked by chaos, defined by no statewide regulatory framework in the nearly twenty years since, and period litigation on the meaning of the initiative and the extent of local control.

Among the most troublesome issues with Proposition 215 are the non-existent quality controls for consumers with respect to potency and the presence of carcinogenic pesticides or other contaminants; and retail outlets and cultivation sites that often become potential magnets for criminal activity and the ability of virtually anyone to obtain a medical marijuana recommendation from a compliant doctor.

Assembly Bill 266 establishes an improved regulatory structure to ensure that Prop. 215 works as originally envisioned to assist patients with legitimate medical needs, in a manner that comports with the needs of law enforcement, local governments, patient advocates, local community organizations, and medical professionals.

As police chiefs and local government leaders, we believe it is time to address the flaws associated with Proposition 215 implementation in a responsible, realistic and health-based fashion while also protecting the needs of legitimate medical patients. Both the California Police Chiefs and the League of California Cities commend you for introducing this legislation, which seeks to build upon SB 1262 (Correa, 2014) and to benefit from the lessons learned as a result of that effort.

Specifically, Assembly Bill 266 does the following:

1. Protects local control providing that the state will issue a conditional license only after running a background check and establishing proof of the applicants' residency; the actual permit to operate will be issued by local governments.
2. Requires the existence of a doctor-patient relationship in connection with medical marijuana recommendations, and prohibits physicians from having a financial interest in any marijuana business.
3. Provides that all medical marijuana sold in California shall be subject to the uniform health and safety standards, requiring regular, mandatory random sample testing.
4. Provides for state-certified testing laboratories to be the only entities authorized to perform the testing, and provides a standard for state certification.
5. Provides that all medical marijuana facilities, and all forms of commercial transport of marijuana shall be subject to security standards, including mandatory inventory procedures which will include non-diversion protocols.
6. Ends the cooperative and collective model by establishing sourcing requirements, under which all medical marijuana facilities must obtain marijuana only from licensed cultivation sites using licensed transporting entities.
7. Ensures patient access by providing for staggered implementation dates for the sourcing requirements.
8. Incorporates a labor peace agreement allowing organization of marijuana facility employees in return for no-strike agreements, and establishes an apprenticeship program.
9. Absolutely protects the local regulatory protocol reflected by Measure D in Los Angeles.
10. Opens up a revenue stream for environmental enforcement at cultivation sites, by earmarking a portion of cultivation license fees for that purpose.

The provisions contained in AB 266 are the result of many months of painstaking crafting and re-crafting of language arising from both the evolution of last year's SB 1262 and the lessons learned since. Input was obtained from individual law enforcement professionals, municipal law attorneys, medical professionals, patient advocates, marijuana advocacy organizations, testing labs, community-based organizations, as well Cal Chiefs and the League of Cities both making exhaustive examination into best practices from across the United States.

Sincerely,



Chris McKenzie
Executive Director
League of California Cities



Chief Christopher Boyd
President
California Police Chiefs Association

Assembly Bill 266 (Cooley) Medical Marijuana Regulation

ISSUE

Since the approval by voters in 1996 of the Compassionate Use Act (Proposition 215), state law has allowed Californians access to marijuana for medical purposes, and prohibited punitive action against physicians for making medical marijuana recommendations. SB 420 (2003), allowed patients and primary caregivers to cultivate marijuana for personal use and established in the Department of Public Health a medical marijuana card program for patients to use on a voluntary basis.

In the intervening 11 years, no broader, feasible regulatory structure has been established, and the implementation of the Compassionate Use Act has been marked by conflicting authorities, regulatory chaos, intermittent federal enforcement action, and a series of lawsuits which have tested the limits of the Act, and focused on the extent of the authority of local government.

Nearly all attempts at medical marijuana legislation in California have been geared toward state pre-emption, and sought to limit the authority of local government. None have been health-based, despite the medical rationale that spawned Prop. 215. Few have sought to impose any health and safety standards, despite the fact that the regulatory structure they tried to establish would have exercised oversight over what is known to be a psychotropic substance. Finally, no legislation has squarely addressed the many public safety concerns triggered by such a regulatory scheme.

EXISTING LAW

Proposition 215, or the Compassionate Use Act (CUA), decriminalizes the use of marijuana for medical purposes, provides for patient access to medical marijuana, and prevents doctors from being penalized for making medical marijuana recommendations. SB 420 (2003), the Medical Marijuana Program Act (MMPA), clarifies some implementation aspects of the CUA, including issuance of identification cards for qualified patients, and allowed patients and their primary caregivers to collectively or cooperatively cultivate medical marijuana, granting them immunity from nuisance abatement actions for this activity. More recently, California law has decriminalized marijuana possession so long as the amount does not indicate possession for sale.

THIS BILL

AB 266 will:

- 1) Protect local control by providing that the state may issue a conditional license only; the actual license to operate would be issued by the local government;
- 2) Protect public safety by establishing detailed security measures and inventorying procedures for transport to prevent diversion.
- 3) Protect public health by establishing uniform health and safety standards, including quality assurance (testing) standards promulgated by the Department of Consumer Affairs, and

enforced by local code enforcement offices.

RECENT LEGISLATION

SB 1262 (Correa, 2014) Similar to AB 266, this bill sought to protect local control with by making state licensing dependent on local approval. Included anti-diversion provisions and health and safety standards. Held in the Assembly Appropriations Committee August 2014.

AB 1894 (Ammiano, 2014) Similar to the current AB 26 (Jones-Sawyer), sought to set up a regulatory scheme of mandatory commercial registration for marijuana businesses that would have pre-empted local ordinances. Failed passage on the Assembly Floor May 29, 2014.

AB 473 (Ammiano, 2013) sought to establish a mandatory statewide commercial registration scheme for marijuana dispensaries. Failed passage on Assembly Floor 5/31/2013

SB 439 (Steinberg, 2013) sought to exempt marijuana collectives and cooperatives from various forms of criminal prosecution under the California Health & Safety Code, as well as from local nuisance abatement actions under Health & Safety Code Section 11570. Hearing in Assembly Health Committee cancelled at request of author.

AB 604 (Ammiano, 2013) Similar to AB 1894. Sought to establish for-profit sales of marijuana by commercial operators, and significantly restrict municipal zoning powers and local law enforcement authority. Failed passage on Senate Floor 9/11/2013

SUPPORT

- California Police Chiefs Association (Co-Sponsor)
- League of California Cities (Co-sponsor)

OPPOSITION

- None on File

FOR MORE INFORMATION

Contact: Amanda Kirchner
Legislative Director
Office of Assemblyman Ken Cooley
(916) 319-2008
amanda.kirchner@asm.ca.gov

Tom Sheehy
California Police Chiefs Association
(916) 442-1111
sheehyt@qtlaw.com

Tim Cromartie
League of California Cities
(916) 658-8252
tcromartie@cacities.org

STATUS

MEDICAL MARIJUANA REGULATION FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

1. Why have the Police Chiefs and the League partnered in crafting this proposal?

In 2013, the League and the Police Chiefs joined forces to defeat four separate medical marijuana bills that to varying degrees sought to undermine or eliminate local control, and to strip local law enforcement of certain powers. In the wake of this experience, our two organizations concluded in early 2014 that any proposal that upheld local control and honestly addressed the public safety concerns triggered by medical marijuana, would have to be one that we wrote ourselves.

We set out to craft a responsible, realistic regulatory structure, notwithstanding federal law which prohibits marijuana. This was necessary in light of a wave of bills attempting to usher in state pre-emption, and the political climate in California which is increasingly lenient toward marijuana as a controlled substance since the approval of Proposition 215 in 1996, and may now be leaning toward legalization.

It came down to a choice: either be pro-active and craft a regulatory process upholding local control and addressing the public safety concerns involved in marijuana regulation, or have a different solution imposed on us that will likely be crafted by the medical marijuana industry – either through legislation or the initiative process -- that overrides our regulatory authority.

2. What would this proposal do?

It will:

- 1) Protect local control by providing that the state may issue a conditional license only; the actual license to operate will be issued by the local jurisdiction, according to local ordinances and permitting procedures;
- 2) Unconditionally uphold local governments' ability to ban dispensaries and all related facilities;
- 3) Require the existence of a doctor-patient relationship for all medical marijuana recommendations, and prohibit doctors from having a financial interest in a marijuana business;
- 4) Impose uniform health and safety standards, including testing standards, on all marijuana sold in California;
- 5) Require a series of detailed security measures to prevent diversion and recreational use by minors at all medical cannabis facilities.

3. Will this bill restrict patient access, or alter individual patients' rights to cultivate marijuana under Proposition 215?

No. We plan to amend the bill to provide for staggered implementation dates, specifically to avoid problems with patient access. A key goal of this bill is to protect patients by establishing health and safety standards and labelling requirements. Patients right to cultivate marijuana for personal use will not be affected in any way.

- 4. Why are the League and the Police Chiefs sponsoring their own legislation?**
To prevent the establishment of a regulatory scheme that strips away local control and ties the hands of local law enforcement. AB 1894 (Ammiano, 2014) directly sought to pre-empt local ordinances by handing local zoning functions over to the Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control, and to regulate local law enforcement activity with respect to dispensaries. Prior to that, recent attempts at legislation had a similar agenda. Out of four medical marijuana bills defeated in 2013, all initially tried to override local control with a state pre-emption scheme. All were backed by the marijuana industry and involved minimal regulation. None of them addressed the public safety concerns about promoting recreational use, one tried to limit local law enforcement's investigatory powers, and none did anything to address diversion of the product, cartel activity, or security concerns at dispensaries. Finally, none incorporated health and safety standards which are necessary when trying to regulate both an agricultural product and a psychotropic substance.
- 5. Is this bill a pathway to marijuana legalization?**
No. The League and the Police Chiefs remain strongly opposed to any legalization scheme. This proposal should in no way be interpreted as an attempted path to legalization. If anything, we expect it will present moderates of both parties in the Legislature with a reasonable alternative to any legalization legislation, and to any competing medical cannabis bills, which will likely be sponsored by the industry, and may not sufficiently address the concerns of cities and law enforcement.
- 6. If the Police Chiefs and the League are opposed to marijuana use, why aren't they taking the position of just working to defeat all such bills?**
We continue to oppose legislation that seeks to pre-empt local control and tie the hands of local law enforcement. However, with marijuana legalization in Washington State and Colorado, the Democratic majority in the California Legislature, and the softening of opposition to marijuana on the part of the federal government and the general public, there is a real concern that if the League and the Police Chiefs do not actively work to shape a thoughtful marijuana regulation policy, we may have a poorly crafted structure forced upon us. In fact, this bill will vastly improve on the current state of affairs, in which marijuana is virtually unregulated.
- 7. What could be worse?**
Just look at the bills of 2013 (see #4 above). They point to attempts to establish regulatory schemes with minimal regulation, state pre-emption of local ordinances, no health and safety standards, and no real controls to prevent diversion or increasing use of marijuana by minors.
- 8. Won't this proposal violate Proposition 215?**
No. In 1996, Proposition 215, or the Compassionate Use Act (CUA), decriminalized the use of marijuana for medical purposes as it provided for patient access to medical marijuana and prevented doctors from being penalized for making medical marijuana recommendations. In 2003, the Legislature's enactment of SB 420, the Medical Marijuana Program Act (MMPA), clarified some specifics of implementing the CUA, including issuance of identification cards for qualified patients and allowing patients

and their primary caregivers to collectively or cooperatively cultivate medical marijuana.

However, neither Prop. 215 nor the MMPA made any attempt to establish a broader regulatory framework, or lay out how it would be implemented in California's communities. This proposal provides what up to now we have lacked: a thoughtful, health-based and responsible regulatory approach to implementing Prop. 215, while respecting local control. Since voters approved Prop. 215 in 1996, the lack of a comprehensive implementation framework has led to 19 years of regulatory chaos, piecemeal legislation, and perpetual litigation – none of which has served patients, law enforcement, or local governments well. This proposal fills that void by providing a roadmap for implementing Prop. 215, consistent with recent Supreme Court decisions.

9. What if marijuana is legalized in California in the future?

Neither the League nor the Police Chiefs support marijuana legalization. However, if legalization should occur in the future, then this proposal could help form the basis for an improved regulatory structure. As of late 2014, some of the major marijuana advocacy groups have indicated they would seek to build on such a structure with a ballot measure.

10. What role would the state have under this plan?

The Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA) would have the responsibility for issuing a conditional license, which would certify for local governments that the applicant has passed a criminal background check (conducted by the Department of Justice), met the state residency requirement and paid the state fee -- the actual license to operate would be issued by the local government, according to local ordinances. DCA would also be responsible for development of health and safety standards, including quality assurance standards (affecting testing, packaging, potency, purity, and elimination of contaminants not covered by other applicable laws), and would develop criteria for and certify testing laboratories. Costs would be covered by application fees.

11. What entities will enforce this regulatory scheme at the local level?

Local code enforcement offices, or some other locally designated entity, would have primary responsibility for enforcement. County sheriffs would have a role in the rural areas, and the Department of Justice would be available for supplemental enforcement on an as needed basis. Local law enforcement would also remain a key component of local regulation, with the same authority as under current law to investigate and respond to criminal law violations or any other security concerns.

12. Aren't you limiting patient's access to medical marijuana by requiring a doctor-patient relationship?

No. Aside from California, 20 states plus the District of Columbia allow medical marijuana and require the existence of a doctor-patient relationship in order for patients to obtain marijuana. Sixteen of those states have an explicit statutory requirement. This is a commonly required regulatory standard.

13. Aren't you limiting patient's access to medical marijuana by requiring all dispensaries to obtain marijuana only from a licensed cultivation site?

No, because the new bill will have staggered implementation dates, largely to avoid patient access issues. The plan is for the bill to go into effect in January 2016, but for the requirement for acquisition from licensed cultivation sites to become enforceable, tentatively, as of January 2017, giving cultivators sufficient time to get properly licensed with state and local authorities.

14. Wouldn't this bill be considered harassment to the medical profession?

There are no grounds for anyone to make that charge with the current bill. The doctor-patient requirement reflects a regulatory standard employed in 20 other states. The other major component, prohibiting physicians from having a financial interest in a marijuana business, is a prudent protection against conflict of interest for those doctors who make medical marijuana recommendations.

15. Are you sponsoring this bill to pre-empt inevitable legalization?

No, we are sponsoring this measure to craft a regulatory protocol for medical marijuana that protects local control, addresses public safety concerns, and provides uniform health and safety standards. We do not support legalization, but if this measure improves the regulatory climate in a legalization scenario, in terms of protecting the interests of cities and law enforcement, that would be a productive outcome.

16. Why after all of these years are you now supporting the regulation of marijuana?

Most of the regulatory approaches we have seen up until now have not sufficiently addressed our respective concerns about public safety, local control, or the establishment of health and safety standards. The regulatory protocol we are putting forward, does.

This measure addresses public safety concerns with security requirements at dispensaries, and transport and inventorying procedures; it protects local control by providing that the state can only issue a conditional license, with the actual license to operate issued by local governments. Finally, it includes long overdue health and safety requirements.

17. Why are the Police Chiefs partnering with the League of CA Cities?

It is a natural partnership in that the police chiefs and the cities have been on the front lines on this issue since Prop. 215 was approved by voters. It is local communities and their police departments that bear the brunt of the regulatory chaos we have now. Both the California Police Chiefs Association and the League of California Cities understand that public safety is best served by local control.

18. What type of security measures are in place for a pharmacy?

Many pharmacies at a minimum now have some form of video surveillance and have all the security measures in place that the larger store in which they are located provides. But this question makes an inappropriate comparison. Pharmacies are not all-cash businesses dealing a Schedule I drug deemed illegal by federal law, and do not represent the potential magnet for criminal activity (especially armed robbery) that most dispensaries do. Because the federal government deems marijuana a Schedule 1 drug, no pharmacy can dispense it, so the comparison is inappropriate. Pharmacies also do not generate the same volume of all-cash revenue in a given time period, and since they are currently rigorously regulated and dispensaries are not, pharmacies do not present the same opportunities for product diversion. It is therefore appropriate from a public safety standpoint that tighter security requirements be imposed on dispensaries than at pharmacies.

19. With respect to edibles, other edible medical products are not currently regulated with the extensiveness your bill is suggesting. So, why do you feel it is necessary?

Most, if not all, other edible medical products are allowed by federal law; marijuana is not. Legally speaking, marijuana edibles are unique in being a federally prohibited substance that is not subject to health and safety standards or purity standards of any kind. This is especially troubling given that edibles contain marijuana in concentrate form and have psychotropic qualities.

As far as regulation of comparable substances, all other edible medical products must comply with the California Sherman Pure Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act. Marijuana edibles are made from a Schedule I drug. Other than Marinol, there are no orally administered, recognized marijuana-based products that can legally be administered by physicians under federal law. There are no standards for the concentration of THC, for example, in edible marijuana-laced brownies. This is a psychotropic substance in marijuana that can have unpredictable results in different individuals depending on their body chemistry and the concentration of THC. Lack of regulation in this area poses a public health and public safety hazard.

20. How is this bill different than any others out right now?

- 1) The new Cooley bill, based on last year's SB 1262, is a medical-only regulatory scheme that protects local control by providing for local enforcement and providing that the state may issue a conditional license only; AB 26 (Jones-Sawyer) is a re-introduction of AB 1894 (Ammiano, 2014), and represents state pre-emption by handing over local zoning powers over to the Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC) – specifically regulations re: hours of operation, advertising and signage. ABC would also handle enforcement with minimal input from locals.
- 2) The new Cooley bill is health-based, with the Dept. of Consumer Affairs as the licensing entity, and local code enforcement having enforcement powers; AB 26 is not health-based, lists ABC, a recreational regulatory body, as the licensing entity, and entails minimal local enforcement authority.

3) The new Cooley bill addresses diversion concerns by imposing specific security requirements on dispensaries, and requirements related to the transport and inventorying of marijuana; AB 26 delegates to ABC the promulgation of general anti-diversion regulations, with no further specific direction.

21. Why is this bill intruding upon the doctor-patient relationship to such a degree?

The bill does not intrude upon the doctor-patient relationship -- it merely requires that there be one. Current California law (unlike the laws in 20 other states allowing medical marijuana) does not require a doctor patient relationship.

22. Why run this bill at all when legalization in 2016 is likely?

First, the some of the key backers of a 2016 initiative, the Drug Policy Alliance and the California Cannabis Industry Association, are leaning toward an initiative that builds upon a state regulatory structure enacted by the Legislature, rather than sweeping such a structure away.

Second, legalization is not inevitable. In 2010, despite initial polling indicating that Proposition 19, the marijuana legalization ballot measure, would pass, and legalization supporters outspending opponents by a ratio of 10-1, California voters rejected Prop. 19 by nearly 700,000 votes. It is speculative to assume that legalization is inevitable in California. But regardless of what the future holds, California desperately needs meaningful regulation in this area. Right now we don't have it.

Key Differences: SB 1262 (Correa) vs. New Cooley Legislation

	SB 1262 (Correa)	AB 266 (Cooley)
Licensing Scheme	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applicants secured local permits first • Then secured license from state agency • State agency controlled suspension and revocation of licenses • Required complex interaction between state agency and local governments 	Dual licensing: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State agency issues conditional license only <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Criminal background check ○ Residency requirement check ○ Payment of state fee • License to operate will be issued by local governments according to local ordinances • Locals retain control over suspension and revocation of licenses
Labor Policy	Silent on labor issues	Includes labor peace agreement: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Employers agree to allow labor to organize & represent employees without interference • Labor agrees not to strike or engage in work stoppages • Establishment of apprenticeship program for employees
Measure D (Los Angeles)	Statement of Non-interference with Measure D	Stand-alone regulatory provisions specific to Los Angeles to leave Measure D intact (but require compliance with Uniform Standards below)
Uniform Standards	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uniform health and safety standards (incl. testing standards) • Uniform security standards for dispensaries and transport 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uniform health and safety standards (incl. testing standards) • Uniform health and safety standards for dispensaries and transport
Implementation and Maintaining Patient Access	Provisional licensing period requiring locals to certify to state agency which applicants are in compliance with local ordinances	No provisional licensing, and staggered implementation dates: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bill to take effect January 1, 2016 • Dispensaries to obtain marijuana only from licensed cultivation sites via licensed transporters, effective January 1, 2017
Environmental Enforcement	Silent on environmental enforcement	Creates earmarked revenue stream from state's conditional license fee for cultivation – portion of fee to go to Special Fund for Environmental Enforcement

Comparison of Medical Marijuana Legislation			
Current Law, Recent Bills, and Police Chiefs/League-Sponsored Bill (Cooley)			
	Current Law	Recent (2013-14) Legislation¹	League-Chiefs 2015 Sponsored Bill (Cooley)²
Local Control	Yes, but it is tenuous. <i>Riverside v. Inland Empire</i> (Cal. Supreme Ct. case) – upholds local bans, but the court’s decision invited the Legislature to take further action to clarify law; periodic litigation prevails.	No. All bills sought to override local control, with exception of AB 473 (Ammiano, 2013) – protected local control with strong anti-pre-emption language (Health & Safety Sec. 11362.83). AB 1894 (Ammiano, 2014) pre-empted local ordinances.	Yes. Unconditionally upholds local control by allowing the state to issue a conditional license only. The actual license to operate will be issued by local governments according to local ordinances.
Public Safety (Diversion)	Diversion generally prohibited under Compassionate Use Act and Health & Safety Sec. 11362.5(b)(2), but no specific provisions of law regulate dispensaries to help prevent it.	AB 1894 required regulations for an inventory system and entailed testing requirements, but failed to specify what was to be done with non-compliant marijuana.	Specifies required security procedures at MM facilities, including secure storage of product; detailed procedures for transport and inventorying of product.
Public Safety (Security Standards)	Non-Existent	AB 1894 required unspecified procedures for limiting access to facilities; also required businesses to maintain accurate roster of employees	Requires a series of detailed security measures at dispensaries to enhance safety of employees and patients/customers
Regulation of Doctors	Prop. 215 prohibits professional licensing boards from disciplinary or other action vs. doctors solely for issuing MM recommendations; further regulation dependent entirely on action on complaints by CA Medical Board	Dependent on action on complaints by CA Medical Board (CMB); SB 439 (Steinberg, 2013) directed CMB to prioritize investigation of doctors recommending MM w/out patient exam; expanded definition of unprofessional conduct.	Requires marijuana recommendations to arise from a doctor-patient relationship (consistent with 20 other states). Prohibits doctors from having a financial interest in a marijuana business.

¹ This includes the recently introduced AB 26 (Jones-Sawyer), which is identical to AB 1894 (Ammiano).

² The Cooley legislation will be very similar to SB 1262 (Correa, 2014), which promoted local control, public safety and public health requirements.

	Current Law	Recent (2013-14) Legislation	League-Chiefs 2015 Sponsored Bill (Cooley)
State Licensing Authority	Non-Existent (But SB 420 (2003) authorized Dept. of Public Health to administer voluntary medical marijuana card program)	Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC)	Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA)
Health & Safety Standards	Non-Existent	AB 1894 directed ABC to develop health & safety standards	Requires DCA to develop uniform health & safety standards for marijuana
Quality Assurance Standards	Non-Existent	AB 1894 referenced a "quality assurance plan" under a testing and labelling requirement	Requires DCA to establish quality assurance standards (product testing) to protect vs. any contaminants (mold, bacteria, and excessive pesticide/rodenticide residue)
Health Based Regulatory Entity	No designated central regulatory entity, health-based or otherwise	No. With the exception of the League-Chiefs proposal, all legislation has designated ABC as the regulatory entity	Yes. Department of Consumer Affairs

AB 266 (Cooley) Medical Marijuana

TOP LINE MESSAGE

The purpose of AB 266 is to establish a balance between California's communities' ability to preserve influence over medical marijuana distribution within their borders and the right of California's citizens to access medical marijuana as provided for in Proposition 215 (1996). By establishing health and public safety rules relevant to the conduct of this distribution, this bill aims to improve the current status quo by helping the exercise of Proposition 215 rights within local community norms. Specifically, it will not alter patients existing rights of access to or cultivation of medical marijuana under Proposition 215.

It is fundamentally about three things: 1) advancing local control, 2) protecting public safety and 3) creating uniform health and safety standards that ensure the lawful distribution of medical marijuana in those cities and counties in which it is authorized.

TALKING POINTS

- **Local Control, Public Health & Safety.** This measure, like SB 1262 (Correa) before it, carefully safeguards the right of cities and counties to decide whether to regulate and prohibit medical marijuana cultivation and distribution. This measure achieves these goals by:
 - o Ensuring that cities have control of regulating local medical marijuana facilities by providing that the state will issue a conditional license only; local government will issue the actual license to operate according to local permitting ordinances.
 - o Protecting public safety with uniform security requirements.
 - o Protecting public health with uniform health and safety standards.
 - o Ensuring patient safety with a quality assurance protocol requiring random sample testing by certified laboratories for all medical marijuana sold in California.
- **Previous Legislative Efforts to Undermine Local Control.** Prior to 2014, several bills attempted to undermine or eliminate local control over critical regulatory issues such as municipal zoning, permit decisions and licensing ordinances. These and other similar bills disregarded public safety concerns about promoting recreational use, sought to limit local law enforcement's investigatory powers, and failed to address issues of diversion, cartel activity, or security concerns at dispensaries. Finally, they did not include the health and safety standards that are necessary for any medicine, particularly one with psychotropic properties.
- **This measure provides a much needed and long overdue framework to effectively implement Proposition 215, the Compassionate Use Act.** Proposition 215, enacted by voters in 1996, provided patient access to medical marijuana. However, it did not include a comprehensive framework for implementation that provided local governments the authority to create and enforce locally driven policies, nor did it ensure public safety by providing clear guidelines for medical marijuana regulations.
 - o Since Prop. 215's passage we've had regulatory chaos, piecemeal legislation and perpetual litigation. None of this has served local governments, law enforcement or patients well.
- **This measure is NOT an endorsement of the legalization of marijuana.** It is an attempt to put a rational regulatory structure in place for medical marijuana that is consistent with the needs of cities and law enforcement, prior to the appearance of a legalization initiative on the statewide ballot in 2016.

*Strengthening California Cities
through Advocacy & Education*



[Home](#) > [News](#) > [News Articles](#) > [2014](#) > [December](#) > Congress Passes FY 2015 Appropriations Package 

Congress Passes FY 2015 Appropriations Package Revises Local Hire and Medical Marijuana Policies in Addition to Appropriations

December 18, 2014

After some controversy, Congress passed the FY 2015 appropriations package last weekend.

The \$1.092 billion, 1,603 page package includes 11 out of the 12 annual appropriations bills. The twelfth appropriations bill, which funds the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), was excluded so that next year the new Republican-controlled Congress can formulate a strong response to President Barack Obama's executive order on illegal immigration. However, Congress did pass a continuing resolution to keep DHS funded through Feb. 27, 2015.

The appropriations package, dubbed the "cromnibus," includes several appropriations in which cities may be interested:

- **Public Safety:** \$2.3 billion for state and local Department of Justice (DOJ) Grants (\$55 million more than FY 2014). Unfortunately, the Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) was cut by \$6 million, and does not include funding for COPS technology.
- **Energy:** \$1.9 billion for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Programs (\$22 million more than FY 2014).
- **Drought:** \$50 million to the Bureau of Reclamation to address drought throughout the Western states.
- **Water:** \$1.449 billion for the Clean Water State Revolving Funds and \$906.9 for Drinking Water State Revolving Funds.
- **Workforce:** \$2.6 billion for Workforce Investment Act grants.
- **Transportation:** \$500 million for Transportation Investment Generating Economic Recovery Grants (\$100 million less than FY 2014 and does not provide funding for planning).

- **Housing:** \$900 million for the HOME Investment Partnership program (\$100 million less than FY 2014), \$3 billion for Community Development Block Grants, and \$2.1 billion for homeless assistance grants (\$30 million more than FY 2014).

In addition, the omnibus included some policy changes. While information on these will continue to be released, the League has flagged two issues of interest:

- **Medical Marijuana Enforcement:** Prohibits the DOJ from using federal funds to enforce federal marijuana laws in states where medical marijuana is legal. This could have an impact on cities that have banned dispensaries on the basis of federal law, and could also bolster negotiations for a stronger medical-only regulatory protocol in California.
- **Local Hire Practices:** Allows states, cities, and other recipients of federal transportation funding to establish local hiring bid specifications or consider local hiring when evaluating bids and proposals for a project. This language was originally included in H.R. 3620 (Rep. Karen Bass), a League-supported bill.

The full text of the appropriations package is posted [online](#).


Print

TO: CAROLYNN PETRU, ACTING CITY MANAGER
FROM: MICHAEL W. THRONE, DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS 
DATE: FEBRUARY 11, 2015
SUBJECT: WEEKLY ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT

**FY12-13 & FY13-14 RESIDENTIAL STREETS REHABILITATION PROJECT,
AREAS 1, 5B, & 9: CONSTRUCTION CONTINUES**

Construction continues this week in Area 1, which is the residential area bordered by Silver Spur Road on the east, Hawthorne Boulevard on the south, and the City of Palos Verdes Estates on the west and north. The initial preparatory work is being performed, including the repair of damaged sections of concrete curb and gutter as shown in the photos below. Many tree roots have been encountered, which has slowed some of the progress, but the contractor is working hard to keep the project moving forward. The patience and cooperation of the public is greatly appreciated during this construction period. Any questions or concerns should be directed to the Public Works Department at 310-544-5252. Additional information is also available on the project website at:

http://palosverdes.com/rpv/publicworks/FY12_13_14_residential_rehab_project/index.cfm



Curb and Gutter Repair on Ironwood Street

ANNUAL SIDEWALK REPAIR PROJECT CONSTRUCTION CONCLUDES

The last of the concrete repair work for this project is being performed this week at several locations in the northwestern portion of the City on sidewalks in the public right-of-way. The new concrete panels will be poured at the various locations by the end of this week. The continued patience and cooperation of the public is appreciated during the construction period.



Sidewalk Repair on Quailhill Dr near Moongate Dr and Covecrest Rd near Firtridge Dr

DPW MAINTENANCE

The Maintenance Department exchanged the rented John Deere 201LE Skip Loader, for a Bobcat tracked Mini-Tracked Loader. This change will give the Maintenance Department personnel added flexibility to perform a broader range of heavy equipment related tasks. The Skip Loader was limited to loading materials and grading, whereas the Mini-Tracked Loader can be outfitted with a broad array of attachments, to perform multiple tasks, to include: Mowing, Material Loading, Pallet Loading, Pavement Milling, Sweeping, Stump Grinding, Trenching, Post Hole Digging, Excavating and too many more options to mention.

INFRASTRUCTURE MANAGEMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

The monthly meeting of the Infrastructure Management Advisory Committee (IMAC) will be on Thursday, February 12, 2015 at Hesse Park. See attached meeting agenda.

TREE MAINTENANCE FOCUS GROUP – SECOND MEETING

On Wednesday, February 4th, the tree maintenance focus group held its second meeting in the Community Room at City Hall, where the discussion centered around formulating a proposal for change to the City's current practices of maintaining trees in the public right of way.

The focus group directed its attention to the following areas of discussion:

- ❖ Trees are a valuable Community Asset
- ❖ Align Requirements for View Impacts (Public Tree vs. Private Tree)
- ❖ Streamlined Tree Permit Process

- ❖ Service Request Improvements
- ❖ Tree replacement efforts
- ❖ Feasibility of increasing grid-trimming frequency and method of tree trimming
- ❖ Improving policy language for clarity
- ❖ Maintenance all city trees should be responsibility of public works department

The Focus Group had seven attendees with one attendee participating via conference call.

Staff will write the first draft of the proposal and send out to the focus group for review and comment. It is anticipated that this matter will return to the City Council for consideration in the next two months.

**AGENDA
MEETING OF THE
INFRASTRUCTURE MANAGEMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE
6:00 PM FEBRUARY 12, 2015
HESSE PARK COMMUNITY PARK
29301 HAWTHORNE BOULEVARD, RANCHO PALOS VERDES**

6:00 P.M. 1. CALL TO ORDER

2. ROLL CALL

3. FLAG SALUTE

4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Recommendation: Approve the Minutes of January 15, 2015.

6. CHAIR'S COMMUNICATION

7. PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR'S REPORT

8. PUBLIC COMMENTS

(This section of the agenda is for audience comments on items NOT on this agenda)

9. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

(a) 2015 Work Plan – Continue discussion of elements and Committee goals to include in the Committee work plan.

Recommendation: Provide general direction to staff on the format and content of the work plan.

10. NEW BUSINESS

(a) Public Works Active Projects – Public Works will present a list of all funded projects currently underway in the 2014 capital improvement plan

Recommendation: Receive and discuss list, provide input as warranted.

(b) Formation of Landslide Strategic Plan Subcommittee - The Committee will consider forming a subcommittee to review the actions taken since the 1950s to halt the landslide and report back on options for a landslide strategic plan.

Recommendation: Discuss proposal and determine course of action.

(c) Monthly Public Works Tutorial – Sewer system management plan (SSMP)

Recommendation: Receive and file information related to the SSMP.

11. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

(This section of the agenda is designated for individual Committee Members to request that an item be placed on a future IMAC meeting agenda)

12. COMMITTEE MEMBER ORAL REPORTS

(This section of the agenda is designated for oral reports from Committee Members)

13. ADJOURNMENT

Adjourn to a time and place certain only if the Committee wishes to meet prior to the next regular meeting

The Infrastructure Management Advisory Committee may be contacted through the email listed below. Please note that Committee Members are precluded from responding to "All" as that would be in violation of the Brown Act.

Email IMAC@rpv.com

Website

http://www.palosverdes.com/rpv/publicworks/infrastructure_management_advisory_committee/index.cfm

In case you have questions or observe a non-emergency condition on our roads, trails or city parks that is damaged or needs to be repaired, the City of Rancho Palos Verdes Public Works Department can be reached at:

Telephone (310) 544-5252

Email PublicWorks@rpv.com

Website <http://www.palosverdes.com/rpv/comments/index.cfm>

American with Disabilities Act: In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, if you require a disability-related modification or accommodation to attend or participate in this meeting, including auxiliary aids or services, please call Lauren Ramezani (310) 544-5252 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting.

NOTE: Staff reports are available for inspection at City Hall, 30940 Hawthorne Boulevard, during regular business hours, 7:30 A.M. to 5:30 P.M. Monday – Thursday and 7:30 A.M. to 4:30 P.M. on Friday. The agenda and staff reports

can also be viewed at Hesse Community Park, 29301 Hawthorne Boulevard, during regular business hours: Monday – Friday from 9:00 A.M. until dusk; Saturday and Sunday from 10:00 A.M. until dusk.

Written materials, including emails, submitted to the City are public records and may be posted on the City's website. In addition, City meetings may be televised and may be accessed through the City's website. Accordingly, you may wish to omit personal information from your oral presentation or written materials as it may become part of the public record regarding an agenda item.

Materials related to an item on this Agenda submitted to the IMAC after distribution of the agenda packet are available for public inspection at the front counter of the lobby of the City Hall, 30940 Hawthorne Boulevard, Rancho Palos Verdes during normal business hours.

You can also view the agenda and staff reports at the City's website

http://www.palosverdes.com/rpv/publicworks/infrastructure_management_advisory_committee/index.cfm

TO: CAROLYNN PETRU, ACTING CITY MANAGER
FROM: JOEL ROJAS, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR
DATE: FEBRUARY 11, 2015
SUBJECT: WEEKLY ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT

TRUMP NATIONAL GOLF COURSE WATERFALL REMOVAL PROJECT

As you may recall, back in December 2014, Staff notified the City Council of Trump National's proposal to remove waterfalls on holes 1 and 17 and the one near hole 4. Ms. Lili Amini, the General Manager for Trump National, explained that waterfalls are typically not design features of a classic professional golf course and in order to host potential PGA events, they would like to remove them. In addition, Ms. Amini noted that the waterfalls are quite expensive to operate and maintain.

Based on review criteria established by the City Council at a public hearing in 2003 (at which time the subject waterfalls were approved to be added to the original course), the Council allowed Staff to approve changes to the golf course provided new water features are not being added. Thus, Staff and Acting City Manager Carolynn Petru concurred that the removal of the waterfalls qualifies for a Staff level approval provided the proposal involves balanced grading on-site.

Last week, Staff approved a Grading Permit for the removal of said waterfalls. More specifically, three separate waterfalls (hole nos. 1, 17 and near 4) will be demolished and the area will be filled to achieve grade elevations similar to pre-waterfall conditions. The proposed work involves 19,487yd³ of related grading (19,405yd³ of balanced grading and 82yd³ of extra fill from elsewhere on the property). Notification of the approval was mailed to all property owners within 500' radius of the site as well as to list serve subscribers. There is a 15-day appeal period which concludes on February 23rd. The Notice of Decision and conditions of approval are attached.

MARYMOUNT - PROPOSED ATHLETIC FIELD PROJECT NETTING MOCK-UP

In the coming weeks, Marymount will be installing a temporary mock-up of the proposed athletic field netting so that City Staff and the City's environmental consultant (Rincon) can assess whether the proposed permanent netting will, among other things, impair surrounding residents' views of the ocean. The temporary netting mock-up will be installed for a period of 30-days with three netting sections comprised of the actual net (1-1/2")

**Community Development Department
Weekly Administrative Report
February 11, 2015
Page # 2**

material that will be used with the proposed permanent netting. The three netting sections will be 60-feet wide and located on the north, west, and south sides of the proposed Athletic Field. While the permanent netting is proposed to be 30 feet in height, the height of the three temporary netting sections will vary from 20 to 25 feet from existing grade because the actual field is proposed to be lowered 5-10 feet from existing grade. However, the top of the netting mock-up will be at the same height as the proposed permanent netting. Additionally, the City is also asking Marymount to install mock-up poles at certain points along the perimeter of the proposed Athletic Field to depict the netting footprint.

Attached is exhibit that was posted on the City's website depicting the placement of the temporary netting mock-up and poles (please note that the exhibit needs to be viewed at 11"x17" to accurately reflect the scale of the drawing).

The view assessment will assist City Staff and the City's environmental consultant to determine whether an MND is still the appropriate environmental document to process for this project. If Staff determines that the permanent netting may result in a "significant unavoidable impact," pursuant to CEQA, Marymount will be required to process a focused EIR for the Athletic Field proposal rather than continuing with the processing of the MND. Either document will address the netting's impacts to views and to wildlife, among other potential impacts.

Some members of the public have raised strong objections to the temporary mock-up on the grounds that it will create adverse impacts to wildlife. Staff does not believe that installing three widely spaced 60-foot sections of netting for 30 days to conduct view assessments is going to create any impacts to sensitive species. To confirm this, biologists from both the California Fish & Wildlife Service and the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service have reviewed the proposed temporary netting mock up plan and have concurred with Staff that the temporary mock-up requires no Wildlife Agency permits and will not impact sensitive species given that this is temporary and the netting is limited.

Prior to installation of the temporary netting mock-up, property owners within a 500-foot radius of the campus, interested parties, the Marymount list-serve group, as well as the City Council will be notified. Photo documentation of the mock-up will also occur for future use during the public hearing process of the Athletic Field application.

PLANNING COMMISSION FOLLOW-UP AGENDA

Attached is the Follow-Up Agenda from the Planning Commission meeting on February 10, 2015.

APPLICATIONS OF NOTE

Attached is a table with a summary of the Applications of Note that were submitted to the department between Wednesday, February 4, 2015 and Tuesday, February 10, 2015.

ATTACHMENTS

- Notice of Decision for Trump Waterfall Removal Project
- Marymount Netting Mock-up Exhibit
- PC Follow-Up Agenda
- Applications of Note

February 6, 2015

NOTICE OF DECISION


NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on February 6, 2015, the City of Rancho Palos Verdes has approved a request for a Grading Permit (Case No. ZON2015-00040).

PROJECT: Waterfall Removals
APPLICANT/LANDOWNER: VH Property Corp.
LOCATION: Trump National Golf Club
1 Trump National Drive

Said decision allows for the removal of three separate waterfalls located at hole nos. 1 and 17 and Lot 38 of Tract 50667 (east of hole no. 4) with 19,487yd³ of grading. The waterfall areas will be filled to pre-waterfall conditions and contoured to match the surrounding contours.

This decision may be appealed, in writing, to the Planning Commission. The appeal shall set forth the grounds for appeal and any specific action being requested by the appellant. Any appeal letter must be filed within fifteen (15) calendar days of the date of this notice, or by 5:30 PM on Monday, February 23, 2015. A \$2,275.00 appeal fee must accompany any appeal letter. If no appeal is filed timely, the Director's decision will be final at 5:30 PM on Monday, February 23, 2015.

If you have any questions regarding this permit, please contact So Kim, Senior Planner, at (310) 544-5228 or sok@rpv.com.



Joel Rojas, AICP
Community Development Director

Attachment:
Exhibit "A" – Conditions of Approval

cc: VH Property Corp.
Properties within the 500' radius of the project site

Exhibit "A"
Conditions of Approval
Case No. Case No. ZON2015-00040 (Waterfall Removals)
1 Trump National Drive

General Conditions:

1. Prior to the submittal of plans into Building and Safety plan check, the applicant and the property owner shall submit to the City a statement, in writing, that they have read, understand, and agree to all conditions of approval contained in this exhibit. Failure to provide said written statement within thirty (30) days following the date of this approval shall render this approval null and void.
2. Prior to conducting any work in the public right of way, such as for curb cuts, dumpsters, temporary improvements and/or permanent improvements, the applicant shall obtain an encroachment permit from the Director of Public Works.
3. Approval of this permit shall not be construed as a waiver of applicable and appropriate zoning regulations, or any Federal, State, County and/or City laws and regulations. Unless otherwise expressly specified, all other requirements of the City of Rancho Palos Verdes Municipal Code shall apply.
4. The Community Development Director is authorized to make minor modifications to the approved plans and any of the conditions of approval if such modifications will achieve substantially the same results as would strict compliance with the approved plans and conditions. Otherwise, any substantive change to the project shall require approval of a revision by the final body that approved the original project, which may require new and separate environmental review.
5. The project development on the site shall conform to the specific standards contained in these conditions of approval or, if not addressed herein, shall conform to the conditions of approvals for Grading Permit 1541 and Conditional Use Permit 163 that govern the site.
6. Failure to comply with and adhere to all of these conditions of approval may be cause to revoke the approval of the project pursuant to the revocation procedures contained in Section 17.86.060 of the City's Municipal Code.
7. If the applicant has not submitted an application for a building permit for the approved project or not commenced the approved project as described in Section 17.86.070 of the City's Municipal Code within 180-days of the final effective date of this decision, approval of the project shall expire and be of no further effect unless, prior to expiration, a written request for extension is filed with the Community Development Department and approved by the Director.
8. In the event that any of these conditions conflict with the recommendations and/or requirements of another permitting agency or City department, the stricter standard shall apply.

9. The construction site and adjacent public and private properties and streets shall be kept free of all loose materials resembling trash and debris in excess of that material used for immediate construction purposes. Such excess material may include, but not be limited to: the accumulation of debris, garbage, lumber, scrap metal, concrete asphalt, piles of earth, salvage materials, abandoned or discarded furniture, appliances or other household fixtures.
10. Permitted hours and days for construction activity are 7:00 AM to 6:00 PM, Monday through Friday, 9:00AM to 5:00PM on Saturday, with no construction activity permitted on Sundays or on the legal holidays specified in Section 17.96.920 of the Rancho Palos Verdes Development Code. During demolition, construction and/or grading operations, trucks shall not park, queue and/or idle at the project site or in the adjoining street rights-of-way before 7AM Monday through Friday and before 9AM on Saturday, in accordance with the permitted hours of construction stated in this condition. When feasible to do so, the construction contractor shall provide staging areas on-site to minimize off-site transportation of heavy construction equipment. These areas shall be located to maximize the distance between staging activities and neighboring properties, subject to approval by the building official.
11. All grading, landscaping and construction activities shall exercise effective dust control techniques, either through screening and/or watering.
12. All construction sites shall be maintained in a secure, safe, neat and orderly manner, to the satisfaction of the City's Building Official. All construction waste and debris resulting from a construction, alteration or repair project shall be removed on a weekly basis by the contractor or property owner. Existing or temporary portable bathrooms shall be provided during construction. Portable bathrooms shall be placed in a location that will minimize disturbance to the surrounding property owners, to the satisfaction of the City's Building Official.

Project Specific Conditions


13. The approval is for the following:
 - a. Hole No. 1 – Demolition of waterfall, removal of top 25' (approx.) of the supporting retaining wall, excavation of 7,900yd³ (max. 20' of cut) of earthen mound north of the existing retaining wall, and fill (max. 20' of fill) the existing ponds with 7,900yd³ of earth.
 - b. Hole No. 17 – Demolition of waterfall, removal of top 15' (approx.) of the supporting retaining wall, excavation of 1,800yd³ (max. 15' of cut) of earthen mound northwest of the existing retaining wall, and fill (max. 20' of fill) the existing ponds with 1,800yd³ of earth.
 - c. Lot 38 of Tract 50667 – Demolition of waterfall, remove 10' uppermost and 7' bottom retaining walls, remove top half (approx. 10') of center retaining wall, 5yd³ of excavation (max. 5' of cut) and 82yd³ of fill (max. 15' of fill).
14. Prior to transferring earth from the temporary stockpile located at 31925 and 31929 Emerald View Drive to fill Lot 38 Tract 50667, the applicant shall comply with all

conditions of approval related to the stockpile permit no. ZON2015-00029.

15. Unless otherwise designated in these conditions, all construction shall be completed in substantial conformance with the plans stamped APPROVED by the City with the effective date of this decision, prepared by Sawaya Engineering Consultants, Inc., dated January 2015.
16. Prior to Building Permit Issuance, a detailed site and project-specific geotechnical reports will be required for review and approval by the City's Geotechnical consultant.
17. Prior to any landscaping work (removal and installation of habitat and other landscaping), the applicant must obtain the City's Landscape Consultant's approval and comply with all recommendations.
18. No landscaping shall be planted on the project area that exceeds the following:
 - a. Elevation of the proposed cart bridge for hole no. 1
 - b. Elevation 223' for hole no. 2
 - c. Elevation 355' for Lot 38 of Tract 50667

These elevations are based on the approved plans prepared by Sawaya Engineering Consultants, Inc., dated January 2015.

19. Prior to Building Permit Issuance, the unpermitted ficus species on Lot D shall be removed.
20. Prior to Building Permit Issuance, a construction plan shall be submitted to the Community Development and Public Works Department for review and approval. Said plan shall include, but not be limited to a phasing plan, limits of grading, estimated length of time, location of construction trailers, construction signs and equipment storage areas and the location and type of temporary utilities. The applicant shall comply with all recommendations and requirements to the satisfaction of both the Community Development and Public Works Director.
21. Prior to Building Permit Issuance, the applicant shall demonstrate to the Community Development Director that the proposed project has been approved by U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service and California Department of Fish and Wildlife.
22. The City has informed the Applicant and the Applicant acknowledges awareness that the proposed grading and temporary loss of habitat related to the removal of the waterfalls hereby approved by the City does not eliminate the Applicant's obligation to obtain approval from the California Coastal Commission for said work.
23. Pursuant to City Council Resolution 2014-62 Condition of Approval No. C6, the use of rockcrusher on the site is prohibited.
24. Non-compliance with the above conditions shall be grounds for the City to stop work immediately on the property.



FOLLOW-UP AGENDA

**RANCHO PALOS VERDES PLANNING COMMISSION
TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2015
FRED HESSE COMMUNITY PARK, 29301 HAWTHORNE BOULEVARD
REGULAR MEETING
7:00 P.M.**

SCHEDULING NOTES

REQUESTS TO SPEAK ON AN ITEM MUST BE SUBMITTED TO THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR PRIOR TO THE COMPLETION OF THE REMARKS OF THE FIRST SPEAKER ON THE ITEM. NO REQUEST FORMS WILL BE ACCEPTED AFTER THAT TIME.

PURSUANT TO ADOPTED PLANNING COMMISSION PROCEDURE, UNLESS THE PLANNING COMMISSION AGREES TO SUSPEND ITS RULES, NO NEW BUSINESS WILL BE HEARD AFTER 11:00 P.M. AND NO ITEM WILL BE HEARD PAST MIDNIGHT. ANY ITEMS NOT HEARD BECAUSE OF THE TIME LIMITS WILL BE AUTOMATICALLY CONTINUED TO THE NEXT COMMISSION AGENDA.

NEXT P.C. RESOLUTION NO. 2015-04

CALL TO ORDER: 7:01 P.M.

FLAG SALUTE: LED BY COMMISSIONER JAMES

ROLL CALL: COMMISSIONER TOMBLIN AND COMMISSIONER GERSTNER EXCUSED ABSENT.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA: AGREED TO MOVE ITEM #2 AHEAD OF ITEM #1.

COMMUNICATIONS:

City Council Items: THE DIRECTOR REPORTED THAT AT THE FEBRUARY 3, 2015 CITY COUNCIL MEETING, THE COUNCIL OVERTURNED THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S DENIAL OF A 2-STORY HOME ADDITION ON VILLA ROSA AND APPROVED A MODIFIED PROJECT ON A 4-0 VOTE.

Staff: THE DIRECTOR INVITED THE COMMISSION TO ATTEND THE 16TH ANNUAL ASSEMBLY WHICH WILL BE HELD ON FEBRUARY 27, 2015, NOTED THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S SUB-COMMITTEE ON THE WESTERN AVENUE CORRIDOR PLAN WILL MEET ON FEBRUARY 11, 2015, NOTED THAT THE COASTAL COMMISSION

WILL HEAR THE CITY'S PROPOSED LCP AMENDMENT TO ALLOW UP TO 70 FEET HIGH FLAG POLES IN THE COASTAL ZONE ON FEBRUARY 12, 2015 AND NOTED THAT IN JANUARY THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT RECEIVED 65 NEW PLANNING APPLICATIONS AND TOOK ACTION ON 58 SUBMITTED APPLICATIONS.

Commission: COMMISSIONER EMENHISER THANKED THE CITY FOR THE IMPROVEMENTS TO THE CITY COUNCIL CHAMBER.

COMMENTS FROM THE AUDIENCE (regarding non-agenda items): NONE

CONSENT CALENDAR:

NONE

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

2. GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT, ZONE CHANGE, ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT, PARCEL MAP, VARIANCE (CASE NOS. ZON2014-00279 & SUB2014-00004): 5656 Crest / Arbor Capital Group, Inc. (SK)

Request: Change the General Plan Land Use and Zoning Map designations of a vacant property from R1-2 and RS-2 to R2-4 and RS-4 for a subdivision of a single vacant lot into two separate lots with reduced lot widths.

ACTION: ADOPTED P.C. RESOLUTION NO. 2015-04, AS RECOMMENDED BY STAFF, THEREBY RECOMMENDING THAT THE CITY COUNCIL 1) CERTIFY A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION AND 2) APPROVE A GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT, ZONE CHANGE, VESTING TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP 72999 AND VARIANCE (ZON2014-00279 & SUB2014-00004) TO ALLOW THE APPLICANT'S REQUEST, ON A VOTE OF (3-2), WITH COMMISSIONER JAMES AND CHAIRMAN LEON DISSENTING.

CONTINUED BUSINESS:

1. APPEAL OF FENCE/WALL PERMIT DECISIONS (CASE NOS. ZON2014-00202 & ZON2014-00415): 29023 Sprucegrove Drive / Shahbazian - Appellant (SK)

Request: Overturn the Approvals of Fence/Wall Permits that allows an 8' tall combination wall and a 7' tall freestanding fence to be constructed along the south side property line on 29023 Sprucegrove Drive.

ACTION: ADOPTED P.C. RESOLUTION NO. 2015-05, AS AMENDED, THEREBY MODIFYING THE APPEAL AND UPHOLDING THE DIRECTOR'S APPROVAL OF FENCE/WALL PERMITS AT 29023 SPRUCEGROVE DRIVE (ZON2014-00202 AND ZON2014-00415), ON A VOTE OF (5-0).

NEW BUSINESS:

NONE

ITEMS TO BE PLACED ON FUTURE AGENDAS:

3. PRE-AGENDA FOR THE MEETING ON FEBRUARY 24, 2015

ACTION: ACCEPTED AS PRESENTED.

ADJOURNMENT: 9:00 P.M.

The next meeting is scheduled for February 24, 2015

Americans with Disabilities Act: In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, if you require a disability-related modification or accommodation to attend or participate in this meeting, including auxiliary aids or services, please call the Community Development Director at 310 544-5228 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting.

Notes:

1. Staff reports are available for inspection at City Hall, 30940 Hawthorne Boulevard during regular business hours, 7:30 A.M. to 5:30 P.M. Monday – Thursday and 7:30 A.M. to 4:30 P.M. on Friday. The agenda and staff reports can also be viewed at Fred Hesse Community Park, 29301 Hawthorne Boulevard during the Planning Commission meeting.
2. Materials related to an item on this Agenda submitted to the Planning Commission after distribution of the agenda packet are available for public inspection at the front counter of the Planning Division lobby at City Hall, which is located at 30940 Hawthorne Boulevard, Rancho Palos Verdes during normal business hours as stated in the paragraph above.
3. You can also view the agenda and staff reports at the City's website www.palosverdes.com/RPV.
4. Written materials, including emails, submitted to the City are public records and may be posted on the City's website. In addition, City meetings may be televised and may be accessed through the City's website. Accordingly, you may wish to omit personal information from your oral presentation or written materials as they may become part of the public record regarding an agenda item.



Applications of Note as of February 11, 2015

Case No.	Owner	Street Address	Project Description	Submitted
VRP2015-00013	PARSA, BAHRAM & FIROUZEH	6408 SEABRYN DR	View Restoration Permit regarding foliage located at 6414 Seabryn Dr. (Hsiao)	2/9/2015
<i>View Restoration Permit</i>				
ZON2015-00086	CHAVEZ-MORRIS FAMILY TRUST	104 SPINDRIFT DR	LME demo existng damaged 1,476 square foot residence and replacing with new residence in same general location, plus around 1,200 square feet of new. Project will include approximately 120 cubic yards of grading.	2/10/2015
<i>Landslide Moratorium Exception</i>				

t:\Forms\Applications of Note.rpt



MEMORANDUM

TO: CAROLYNN PETRU, ACTING CITY MANAGER
FROM: CORY LINDER, DIRECTOR, RECREATION AND PARKS
DATE: FEBRUARY 11, 2015
SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT

SPRING JUNIOR RANGERS COMPLETE ORIENTATION

Nine children ages 7 to 12 and their parents attended the second junior ranger orientation last Saturday at the Ladera Linda Community Center. After the information session, each child had fun creating a name button. A total of 29 youngsters are now enrolled in the spring Junior Ranger Program. Participants will attend a minimum of three additional Saturday programs, each one focusing on a different topic. Field trips include a trip to the Abalone Cove tide pools; a hike in Forrestal Nature Reserve, Portuguese Bend Reserve, and Alta Vicente Reserve; and a night hike!



PARKS MASTER PLAN UPDATE WORKSHOP THIS WEEK: FOCUS ON HESSE PARK

The Parks Master Plan Update public outreach effort continues, with public workshops scheduled every Wednesday through February 25th. A workshop focusing on Gateway Park was held last Wednesday evening, February 4th at Ladera Linda Community Center. Approximately 80 people attended, offering ideas and comments about the proposed uses for Gateway Park.

This week's workshop, scheduled for Wednesday, February 11th from 7:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. at Hesse Park, is the second workshop focusing on Hesse Park. A presentation on proposed amenities at the site will be shown and results of the previous December 10th, 2014 Hesse Park workshop will be discussed.

UPCOMING PUBLIC WORKSHOPS

<u>Date</u>	<u>Topic</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Location</u>
Wed. Feb. 18	General Parks Master Plan	7-9 pm	Ladera Linda
Wed. Feb. 25	General Parks Master Plan	7-9 pm	Hesse Park

The final two workshops – General Parks Master Plan – will give residents the opportunity to discuss their ideas about future uses at any of the City's park sites and Preserve areas, including the five park sites that were the focus of earlier workshops held Nov. 5th through February 11th. Public outreach for these workshops includes banners at two City locations, fliers,

press releases, City website and Facebook listings, and list serve messages. Residents who would like to be part of this process are encouraged to attend and share their ideas at these final two important public workshops. The draft parks master plan update is scheduled to be presented to City Council in April 2015.

The Department is encouraging residents to also complete an online survey regarding the Parks Master Plan Update, available on the City's website. Contact Sr. Admin. Analyst Matt Waters at mattw@rpv.com or 310-544-5218 with questions about these public workshops and the Parks Master Plan Update process.

PALOS VERDES NATURE PRESERVE

Attached are the minutes from the January monthly Preserve Management Team Meeting. Meeting attendees include Rancho Palos Verdes Recreation and Parks, Community Development, and Public Works Department staff; MRCA rangers, Lomita Sheriff's Department staff, and Palos Verdes Land Conservancy staff. The focus of these meetings is on Preserve maintenance, operations, and public use.

EXTENDED PARK HOURS DURING FEBRUARY SCHOOL HOLIDAYS

Park facilities will be open the following extended hours on Friday, February 13th, in observance of Lincoln's Birthday, and on Monday, February 16th, for Presidents' Day.

Abalone Cove Shoreline Park: 9:00 a.m. - 4:00 p.m.
Fred Hesse Jr. Community Park: 9:00 a.m. - dusk
Ladera Linda Community Center: 10:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.
Pt. Vicente Interpretive Center: 10:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.
Robert E. Ryan Community Park: 10:00 a.m. - dusk

ABALONE COVE SHORELINE PARK

Los Serenos docents will lead a two-hour moderate public hike to the tide pools on Sunday, February 15th, beginning at noon. Parking is free for hike participants and attendees are encouraged to bring a hat, sunscreen and bottled water. Hikers should wear sturdy shoes since part of the walk will be along the rocky cobblestone beach.

FRED HESSE JR. COMMUNITY PARK

Little Critter Afternoon Tales

Parents and children ages 2 to 5 enjoyed an hour of story time fun on Wednesday afternoon, February 11th. Palos Verdes Library personnel read several stories, led the parents and children in song, and showed them how to make a simple craft together. This monthly enrichment program is partnership between the City and the Palos Verdes Library District.

Recreation Class Rentals (February 9th – February 15th)

- Duplicate Bridge Classes (McTaggart Hall): Monday, Friday
- J. S. Aerobic Dance Classes (McTaggart Hall): Monday, Wednesday
- Bones for Life Class (McTaggart Hall): Tuesday

- Bridge Instruction Class (McTaggart Hall): Tuesday
- Fit 4 Moms Stroller Strides Classes (Walking Path, Field): Tuesday, Thursday
- Mommy & Me Class (Activity Room): Tuesday
- Adult Voice Class (Fireside Room): Wednesday
- Suika Preschool (Activity Room): Friday
- Basics of Fine Arts Class (Activity Room): Saturday
- Palos Verdes Strings Classes (Activity Room): Sunday

Community Groups/Private Rentals/City Programs (February 9th – February 15th)

- AARP Driver Safety Class (Fireside Room): Tuesday, Thursday
- Peninsula Seniors - Mah Jong Class (McTaggart Hall): Wednesday
- Girl Scout Troop Leaders' Meeting (Fireside Room): Wednesday
- Parks Master Plan Workshop (McTaggart Hall): Wednesday
- IMAC Meeting (McTaggart Hall): Thursday
- Rancho Palos Verdes Seniors Bridge Club (Activity Room): Thursday
- Non-Profit Group Meeting (Activity Room): Saturday
- Silver Spur Little League Practice (Baseball Field): Saturday

LADERA LINDA COMMUNITY CENTER

Recreation Class Rentals (February 9th – February 15th)

- Dance Classes (Multipurpose Room, Room I): Mon., Tue., Wed., Fri., Sat., Sun.
- Irish Dance Class (Room I): Tuesday
- Tai Chi Gong Class (Multipurpose Room): Wednesday
- Zen Balance Class (Multipurpose Room): Wednesday
- Yoga Class (Room I): Friday

Community Groups/Private Rentals/City Programs (February 9th – February 15th)

- Las Candelistas Meeting (Room I): Wednesday

POINT VICENTE INTERPRETIVE CENTER

Los Serenos Docent Activities

Approximately one hundred seniors from Premier Discovery Center enjoyed self-guided tours of the museum on Monday morning, February 9th.

Ten pre-k children and three adults from Smart from the Start enjoyed a docent-led tour of the museum on Tuesday morning, February 10th.

Los Serenos docents led 25 seniors from the Anaheim Senior Center on a museum tour on Wednesday morning, February 11th.

Facility Rentals

The Peninsula Seniors Lecture from Hesse Park met in the Sunset Room on Wednesday morning, February 11th.

The Sunset Room and Amphitheater are rented on Saturday, February 14th for a wedding ceremony and reception.

ROBERT E. RYAN COMMUNITY PARK

Recreation Class Rentals (February 9th – February 15th)

- Suika Preschool Classes (Activity Room): Monday, Wednesday

REACH PROGRAM

On Monday evening, February 9th, REACH participants met at Hesse Park and prepared a tasty meal of Tacos with all the fixings. Following dinner and cleanup, participants made Valentine's Day cards for residents of a local nursing facility.

On Thursday evening, February 12th, REACH participants will stop for dinner at Snax before heading to Earlwood Care Center to hand deliver their home-made Valentine's Day cards and flowers.

On Sunday, February 15th, REACH participants will travel to Staples Center to watch the iconic Harlem Globetrotters battle the Washington Generals. The game will feature incredible ball handling, iconic wizardry, amazing rim-rattling dunks and trick shots, side-splitting comedy, and unequaled on-court fan interaction!

PVPLC/City Preserve Management Team Meeting Minutes
9:30 a.m. Thursday, January 8, 2015, Community Room

**In Attendance: Recreation and Parks Director Cory Linder, Maintenance Superintendent Sean Larvenz,
Administrative Analyst Katie Lozano, MRCA Supervising Ranger Jewel Johnson, PVPLC Executive Director Andrea
Vona, PVPLC Stewardship Associate Adrienne Mohan**

Preserve Maintenance

- Review of Active Habitat/Trail Projects (PVPLC)- Associate Mohan shared that the focus of habitat projects is now in Portuguese Bend, Alta Vicente, and Abalone Cove Reserves.
- Unauthorized/Spur Trail Closures (PVPLC)- Associate Mohan reported that spur trail closures are now focused in Forrestal Reserve off of Dauntless Trail and Flying Mane Trail.
 - Unauthorized Trail Closure Plan for Preserve (ALL): In progress.
- Review of Trail Crew Activities (PVPLC) – No report.
- Signage (Regulatory/Welcome/Trail Markers, etc.) (PVPLC/PW/RP)
 - Monument Signage, Entry Kiosks, and Welcome Signage (PVPLC/RP)- Director Vona reported that PVPLC is preparing to deliver appropriate documentation to the County for 2012 HCF grant funds to be released, and that PVPLC has released an request for proposals for the welcome signage, monument signage, and entry kiosks. Proposals are due January 19th.
 - Additional Rules Signage in Preserve (Port. Bend, Filiorum, Abalone Cove, Vicente Bluffs) (RP/PW): Analyst Lozano reported that signs continue to go up. Ranger Jewel requested additional “area closed” signs at Vicente Bluffs Reserve between the public parking and Point Vicente Interpretive Center. A Preserve rules sign will also be placed at the top of Ocean Trails Reserve.
 - Yield Signage (PVPLC)– The team decided on 10”x10” signs with symbols (no wording).
- Coastal Trail Markers (PW)- Deputy Director Mihranian will continue to work with Public Works to install the damaged/removed decals.
- Salvation Army Trail (PVPLC): Associate Mohan reported that the appropriate trail markers/decals have been installed.
- Non-Native Trees at Vicente Bluffs Reserve (PVPLC)-PVPLC has determined that the trees are in the Preserve, and will have them removed in spring-summer 2015.
- Trash at Vicente Bluffs/Pelican Cove (PVPLC/RP): Maintenance Superintendent Larvenz reported that Public Works has removed the large items from the beach, and will continue to monitor the areas for which Public Works is responsible. The City will check with Terranea to see if mesh can be added to the railings to prevent garbage from blowing down the cliff.
- San Ramon Erosion (PVPLC): The Public Works Department will assess whether there is vehicle access to the Preserve, and if so, solutions will be considered.
- Toyon/Peppertree Grading Follow-up (PVPLC) – Director Linder will check on the City’s response.
- Mud Flow in Portuguese Bend Neighborhood (RP/PW): Public Works is researching the party responsible for cleanup of mudflow from the Preserve, into a private community.
- Status of Grant contracts/Agreements (PVPLC)
 - 2012 HCF Grant: PVPLC is working with the County on the appropriate documentation to release the grant funds.
 - Upcoming Grant Opportunities (ALL)- no report

Preserve Operations

- Ranger Report (MRCA): Ranger Johnson shared the October-December 2014 quarterly Ranger report. Rangers will cut the unidentified lock found at (?). Ranger Johnson will follow up with the Department of Fish and Wildlife to determine if a permit for a hunting bird has been issued in RPV. Director Vona will contact Fish and Wildlife to determine if such hunting permits are valid in the Preserve. Ranger Johnson recommended trail marker clarification on Eucalyptus Trail. She will send a My Tracks map with recommended locations.

- City Preserve Public Use Logs (RP): Public Use Logs were distributed prior to the meeting. Public Works will remove the memorial created at Inspiration Point, and several mountain bike jumps have been removed from San Ramon Reserve. Analyst Lozano will contact the private property owner adjacent to the LA County land near San Ramon to see what can be done to close access to motorized vehicles.
- Review of Volunteer Trail Watch Reports (PVPLC): The Team discussed the format in which to share future Volunteer Trail Watch reports.
- Managing private Preserve entry points (RP): City, PVPLC, and MRCA staff recently met with members of the Portuguese Bend Community to discuss their concerns with the public access their private community from the Portuguese Bend Nature Reserve. PVPLC will work to close the unauthorized access points and spur trails to and from the community, and work with the neighborhood to improve the authorized access point. PVPLC will also add signage making clear which trails are authorized.
- Drones in the Preserve (ALL): Groups have approached the City regarding use of drones in the Preserve, for which there is no existing policy. The City will draft a policy for discussion.
- Closing Preserve after Rain (PVPLC): PVPLC will draft a policy on closing the Preserve during and after rain for the team to review. They will email it out prior to the next monthly meeting.
- Motorized vehicles access to Preserve (RP): The Management Team will form a strategy to manage vehicle access points, beginning with Portuguese Bend and Forrestal Reserves.
- Stair Use at Filiorum Reserve (RP): Public Works will contact Los Angeles County to determine if they are responsible for maintaining the stairs. Once contact has been made, the Team will determine the best way to close the stairs to prevent public use.
- Enforcement Priorities (All): Enforcement priorities remain the two most heavily used Reserves: Abalone Cove Reserve and Portuguese Bend Reserve. Rangers will also prioritize patrol of San Ramon Reserve for vehicle access and bike jump building.
- Volunteer Trail Watch and Rapid Response Team Priorities (PVPLC): VTW is and RRT are focused in Portuguese Bend and Forrestal Reserves.

Additional Items

- User Group Etiquette Brochure (ALL): The draft brochure will be passed on to Preserve user groups and the Volunteer Trail Watch for comments.
- Abalone Cove Volunteer Day Dec. 13th (RP): Analyst Lozano shared information on Volunteer Day.
- Next Quarterly Preserve Public Forum (ALL): The meeting has been rescheduled for March 4th

Next Meeting: Feb. 5th @ 9:30 a.m.

TENTATIVE AGENDAS*

*This list is a tool used by the City to plan and coordinate Council agendas. As a working document, items on this list are subject to frequent changes.

Note: Time Estimates include 45 minutes for the first section of the agenda (Mayor's Announcements, etc. through the Consent Calendar) and 15 minutes for the last section (Future Agenda Items through Adjournment).

March 3, 2015 – (Time Est. – 3 hrs 45 mins)

6:00 P.M.–Adj. Reg. Meeting–Interviews of Applicants for Chairs of Committees/Commission

Closed Session:

Mayor's Announcements:

City Manager Report:

New Business:

Consent

Notice of Completion – Ryan Park

Approval of 2015 Work Plan – Infrastructure Management Advisory Committee

Award Contract to Strath Pump for Dewatering Wells

Mid-Year Budget Review

Agreed Upon Procedures for Employee Compensation Schedule

Public Works – Computerized Maintenance Mgmt System

Public Hearings

Channel View Neighborhood Request for Permit Parking (30 mins)

Regular Business

Appointments of Chairs to Committees/Commission (10 mins)

Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (10 mins)

Lower Hesse Park Improvements (45 mins)

Initiation of Code Amendment to the Landslide Moratorium Ordinance (20 mins)

Results of the Street Light Audit & Recommended Next Steps (20 mins)

Classification/Compensation Study (30 mins)

March 17, 2015 – (Time Est. – 3 hrs 25 mins)

Closed Session:

Study Session:

Ceremonial: Presentation of Plaques to Outgoing Committee Members; Retirement of City Employee; Whale of a Day Announcement & Poster Contest Winners

Mayor's Announcements:

City Manager Report:

New Business:

Consent

General Plan Implementation – Annual Report
Housing Element – Annual Report

Public Hearings

Regular Business

Abalone Cove Parking Fees (20 mins)
Proposed Amendment to Municipal Code – Petty Cash Fund (5 mins)
Taxi Ordinance (20 mins)
Budget Policy Issues (1 hr)
Results of IT Services RFP Process (30 mins)
Membership of Emergency Preparedness Committee (10 mins)

March 31, 2015 – (Time Est. – 3 hrs 25 mins)

Closed Session:

Study Session:

Mayor’s Announcements:

City Manager Report:

New Business:

Consent

Award of Contract for McCarrell Canyon Relining Project

Public Hearings

Parcel Map Subdivision at 5656 Crest Road (1 hr)

Regular Business

Arterial Walls Along Major Corridors (45 mins)
Intro. of Ord. - Low Impact Development, Complete and Green Streets Policy (20 mins)
Adopt LA County & Abalone Cove Sewer System Management Plans (20 mins)

April 7, 2015 – (Time Est. – 3 hrs 50 mins)

Closed Session:

Mayor’s Announcements:

City Manager Report:

New Business:

Consent

Public Hearings

Appeal of PC Approval of New SFR on Knoll View Drive (1 hr)
St. John Fisher – Conditional Use Permit Revision Request (20 mins)

Regular Business

Budget Menu Exercise (1 hr)
Wireless Antenna Ordinance (30 mins)

April 21, 2015 – (Time Est. – 3 hrs 35 mins)

Closed Session:

Study Session:

Mayor's Announcements:

City Manager Report:

New Business:

Consent

Adoption of Ordinance-Low Impact Development, Complete and Green Streets Policy

Public Hearings

Appeal of Fence/Wall Permit on Sprucegrove Drive (1 hr)

Regular Business

Approval of Final Proj Design & Specs – Sunnyside Ridge Trail Improv. Project (15 mins)
Parks Master Plan Update (1 hr)
GIS System Support (20 mins)

May 5, 2015 – (Time Est. – 4 hrs 5 mins)

Closed Session:

Mayor's Announcements:

City Manager Report:

New Business:

Consent

Citywide Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District – Initiate Proceedings

Public Hearings

Regular Business

Draft Budget Review (1 hr)
Draft Five-Year Model (10 mins)

Draft CIP (20 mins)
Ambulance Service – Additional Location Request (15 mins)
Information Technology Services Agreement (20 mins)
Consideration of Upcoming Ballot Measures (1 hr)

May 19, 2015 – (Time Est. – 3 hrs 20 mins)

Closed Session:

Study Session:

Mayor’s Announcements: Public Works Week

City Manager Report:

New Business:

Consent

First Admt. to Agmt. for Community Development Block Grant
Award Street Sweeping Contract
First Admt. to Agmt. for Extension of AB 939 Contract with SCS Engineering

Public Hearings

Rate Adjustment Request for EDCO (15 mins)
Rate Adjustment Request for UWS (5 mins)

Regular Business

Peafowl Management Plan (2 hrs)

June 2, 2015 – (Time Est. – 4 hrs 35 mins)

Closed Session:

Mayor’s Announcements:

City Manager Report:

New Business:

Consent

Contract Renewal for Geotechnical Consulting Services
Contact Renewal for View Restoration Mediator Professional Services
Award of Contract – Consultant for Building and Safety Services
Renewal of Contract for On-Call Biological and Arboricultural Consulting Services
Contract for View Restoration Arborist

Public Hearings

Adoption of the Budget (15 mins)
Storm Drain User Fee (20 mins)
Green Hills Memorial Park (3 hrs)

Regular Business

June 16, 2015 – (Time Est. – 1 hr 10 mins)

Closed Session:

Study Session:

Mayor's Announcements: Recreation and Parks Month

City Manager Report:

New Business:

Consent

Award Park Landscaping Contract

Award Median Maintenance Contract

Tree Trimming Contract Amendment

Award Pavement Striping Maintenance Contract

Award Contract Extension – Crossing Guard Services

Annual Investment Policy

Appropriations Limit

Notice and Call of General Municipal Election – November 2015

Public Hearings

Citywide Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District (10 mins)

Regular Business

June 30, 2015 – (Time Est. –)

Closed Session:

Mayor's Announcements:

City Manager Report:

New Business:

Consent

Public Hearings

Regular Business

Future Agenda Items (Identified at Council Mtgs & pending receipt of memo from Councilmember)

April 15, 2014 - Revisiting the Skateboarding Ordinance (Brooks); Development of a plan to deal with the Unfunded Pension Liability obligation (Campbell)

July 15, 2014 – Wireless Antenna Master Plan (Campbell)

July 29, 2014 – Discussion of the roles, responsibilities and duties of the City Manager and the City Council (Campbell)

September 30, 2014 – Review of recent City Council Policy No. 47 and/or 48 regarding review of certain records and communications by Council Members (Campbell)

October 7, 2014 - Councilman Buscaino's letter regarding the Rancho LPG Tank Facility (Duhovic)

October 21, 2014 - Citizen's United – 2010 Supreme Court decision regarding campaign expenditures and impact on local elections (Knight)

November 4, 2014 – Regulation of Ultra-Light Aircraft and Drone Flights Along the City's Coastline (Brooks)

December 2, 2014 – Location for a Sheriff's Substation at the south side of the City (Misetich)

December 16, 2014 – Revisit the PVPLC Management Agreement regarding Naming Opportunities (Duhovic)
Current Council Ancillary Insurance Coverage (Campbell)

January 20, 2015 – Public Safety Programs (expanding Volunteers on Patrol; consider a camera on 25th Street) (Misetich/Brooks); Consideration of Renaming Shoreline Park (Duhovic); Consider feasibility of a resident oversight committee of the Sheriff Department's Services (Campbell)

Future Agenda Items Agendized or Otherwise Being Addressed

May 14, 2014 – Council Identification Badges during Emergencies (Misetich) [Staff currently working on this]

October 7, 2014 - Process of responding to residents' emails sent to cc@rpv.com (Duhovic) [Staff currently working on this]

November 4, 2014 – Update on Wall of Honor (Brooks) [Staff to provide an update]

February 3, 2015 - Wireless Antenna Ordinance (Knight) [Agendized for April 7, 2015]

RPVtv Channel 33 Programming Schedule Guide Week of 02/11/15 - 02/17/14					
Wednesday, Thursday, Friday		Saturday, Sunday, Monday		Tuesday	
Cardio Jazz Fitness	6:00 AM - 6:30 AM	Cardio Jazz Fitness	6:00 AM - 6:30 AM	Cardio Jazz Fitness	6:00 AM - 6:30 AM
Cardio Jazz Fitness	6:30 AM - 7:00 AM	Cardio Jazz Fitness	6:30 AM - 7:00 AM	Cardio Jazz Fitness	6:30 AM - 7:00 AM
Peninsula Beat 45: RPV's New City Manager, Caregiving and Home Health Care, Valentine Ball, Harmony Concert, and the PVPLC Film Festival	7:00 AM - 7:30 AM	RPV City Talk - RPV Mayor , Jim Knight February 2015	7:00 AM - 7:30 AM	Peninsula Beat 45: RPV's New City Manager, Caregiving and Home Health Care, Valentine Ball, Harmony Concert, and the PVPLC Film Festival	7:00 AM - 7:30 AM
Around the Peninsula: Retiring Lomita Sheriff Officers	7:30 AM - 8:00 AM	RPV City Talk - RPV Mayor , Jim Knight February 2015	7:30 AM - 8:00 AM	Around the Peninsula: Retiring Lomita Sheriff Officers	7:30 AM - 8:00 AM
Peninsula Beat 45: RPV's New City Manager, Caregiving	8:00 AM - 8:30 AM	Peninsula Beat 45: RPV's New City Manager, Caregiving	8:00 AM - 8:30 AM	Peninsula Beat 45: RPV's New City Manager, Caregiving	8:00 AM - 8:30 AM
Around the Peninsula: Retiring Lomita Sheriff Officers	8:30 AM - 9:00 AM	Around the Peninsula: Retiring Lomita Sheriff Officers	8:30 AM - 9:00 AM	Around the Peninsula: Retiring Lomita Sheriff Officers	8:30 AM - 9:00 AM
Community Announcements	09:00 AM - 9:30 AM	RPV City Talk - RPV Mayor , Jim Knight February 2015	09:00 AM - 9:30 AM	RPV City Talk - RPV Mayor , Jim Knight February 2015	09:00 AM - 9:30 AM
Community Announcements	9:30 AM - 10:00 AM	RPV City Talk - RPV Mayor , Jim Knight February 2015	9:30 AM - 10:00 AM	RPV City Talk - RPV Mayor , Jim Knight February 2015	9:30 AM - 10:00 AM
PVP Coordinating Council February 2015	10:00 AM - 10:30 AM	PVP Coordinating Council February 2015	10:00 AM - 10:30 AM	PVP Coordinating Council February 2015	10:00 AM - 10:30 AM
PVP Coordinating Council February 2015	10:30 AM - 11:00 AM	PVP Coordinating Council February 2015	10:30 AM - 11:00 AM	PVP Coordinating Council February 2015	10:30 AM - 11:00 AM
Peninsula Beat 45: RPV's New City Manager, Caregiving and Home Health Care, Valentine Ball, Harmony Concert, Around the Peninsula: Retiring Lomita Sheriff Officers	11:00 AM - 11:30 AM	Peninsula Beat 45: RPV's New City Manager, Caregiving and Home Health Care, Valentine Ball, Harmony Concert, Around the Peninsula: Retiring Lomita Sheriff Officers	11:00 AM - 11:30 AM	Peninsula Beat 45: RPV's New City Manager, Caregiving and Home Health Care, Valentine Ball, Harmony Concert, Around the Peninsula: Retiring Lomita Sheriff Officers	11:00 AM - 11:30 AM
Peninsula Seniors: Harry Truman by Peter Small	12:00 PM - 12:30 PM	Peninsula Seniors: Harry Truman by Peter Small	12:00 PM - 12:30 PM	Peninsula Seniors: Harry Truman by Peter Small	12:00 PM - 12:30 PM
Peninsula Seniors (continued)	12:30 PM - 1:00 PM	Peninsula Seniors (continued)	12:30 PM - 1:00 PM	Peninsula Seniors (continued)	12:30 PM - 1:00 PM
Peninsula Beat 45: RPV's New City Manager, Caregiving and Home Health Care, Valentine Ball, Harmony Concert, Around the Peninsula: Retiring Lomita Sheriff Officers	1:00 PM - 1:30 PM	The City of Rancho Palos Verdes Planning Commission Meeting, February 10th, 2015	1:00 PM - 1:30 PM	Peninsula Beat 45: RPV's New City Manager, Caregiving and Home Health Care, Valentine Ball, Harmony Concert, Around the Peninsula: Retiring Lomita Sheriff Officers	1:00 PM - 1:30 PM
David Rozas and Chris Knox	1:30 PM - 2:00 PM	The City of Rancho Palos Verdes Planning Commission Meeting, February 10th, 2015	1:30 PM - 2:00 PM	David Rozas and Chris Knox	1:30 PM - 2:00 PM
Community Announcements	2:00 PM - 2:30 PM	The City of Rancho Palos Verdes Planning Commission Meeting, February 10th, 2015	2:00 PM - 2:30 PM	RPV City Talk - RPV Mayor , Jim Knight February 2015	2:00 PM - 2:30 PM
Community Announcements	2:30 PM - 3:00 PM	The City of Rancho Palos Verdes Planning Commission Meeting, February 10th, 2015	2:30 PM - 3:00 PM	RPV City Talk - RPV Mayor , Jim Knight February 2015	2:30 PM - 3:00 PM
PVP Coordinating Council February 2015	3:00 PM - 3:30 PM	The City of Rancho Palos Verdes Planning Commission Meeting, February 10th, 2015	3:00 PM - 3:30 PM	PVP Coordinating Council February 2015	3:00 PM - 3:30 PM
PVP Coordinating Council February 2015	3:30 PM - 4:00 PM	The City of Rancho Palos Verdes Planning Commission Meeting, February 10th, 2015	3:30 PM - 4:00 PM	PVP Coordinating Council February 2015	3:30 PM - 4:00 PM
Peninsula Beat 45: RPV's New City Manager, Caregiving and Home Health Care, Valentine Ball, Harmony Concert, Around the Peninsula: Retiring Lomita Sheriff Officers	4:00 PM - 4:30 PM	The City of Rancho Palos Verdes Planning Commission Meeting, February 10th, 2015	4:00 PM - 4:30 PM	Peninsula Beat 45: RPV's New City Manager, Caregiving and Home Health Care, Valentine Ball, Harmony Concert, Around the Peninsula: Retiring Lomita Sheriff Officers	4:00 PM - 4:30 PM
David Rozas and Chris Knox	4:30 PM - 5:00 PM	The City of Rancho Palos Verdes Planning Commission Meeting, February 10th, 2015	4:30 PM - 5:00 PM	David Rozas and Chris Knox	4:30 PM - 5:00 PM
Cardio Jazz Fitness	5:00 PM - 5:30 PM	Cardio Jazz Fitness	5:00 PM - 5:30 PM	Cardio Jazz Fitness	5:00 PM - 5:30 PM
Peninsula Fitness with DeDe Daniels	5:30 PM - 6:00 PM	Peninsula Fitness with DeDe Daniels	5:30 PM - 6:00 PM	Peninsula Fitness with DeDe Daniels	5:30 PM - 6:00 PM
Peninsula Beat 45: RPV's New City Manager, Caregiving	6:00 PM - 6:30 PM	Peninsula Beat 45: RPV's New City Manager, Caregiving	6:00 PM - 6:30 PM	Peninsula Beat 45: RPV's New City Manager, Caregiving	6:00 PM - 6:30 PM
Around the Peninsula: Retiring Lomita Sheriff Officers	6:30 PM - 7:00 PM	Around the Peninsula: Retiring Lomita Sheriff Officers	6:30 PM - 7:00 PM	Around the Peninsula: Retiring Lomita Sheriff Officers	6:30 PM - 7:00 PM
David Rozas and Chris Knox	7:00 PM - 7:30 PM	David Rozas and Chris Knox	7:00 PM - 7:30 PM	David Rozas and Chris Knox	7:00 PM - 7:30 PM
Peninsula Seniors: Harry Truman Memories by Cecil Fleener	7:30 PM - 8:00 PM	Peninsula Seniors: Harry Truman Memories by Cecil Fleener	7:30 PM - 8:00 PM	Peninsula Seniors: Harry Truman Memories by Cecil Fleener	7:30 PM - 8:00 PM
Peninsula Seniors (continued)	8:00 PM - 8:30 PM	Peninsula Seniors (continued)	8:00 PM - 8:30 PM	Peninsula Seniors (continued)	8:00 PM - 8:30 PM
PVP Coordinating Council February 2015	8:30 PM - 9:00 PM	PVP Coordinating Council February 2015	8:30 PM - 9:00 PM	PVP Coordinating Council February 2015	8:30 PM - 9:00 PM
PVP Coordinating Council February 2015	9:00 PM - 9:30 PM	PVP Coordinating Council February 2015	9:00 PM - 9:30 PM	PVP Coordinating Council February 2015	9:00 PM - 9:30 PM
Community Announcements	9:30 PM - 10:00 PM	RPV City Talk - RPV Mayor , Jim Knight February 2015	9:30 PM - 10:00 PM	RPV City Talk - RPV Mayor , Jim Knight February 2015	9:30 PM - 10:00 PM
Community Announcements	10:00 PM - 10:30 PM	RPV City Talk - RPV Mayor , Jim Knight February 2015	10:00 PM - 10:30 PM	RPV City Talk - RPV Mayor , Jim Knight February 2015	10:00 PM - 10:30 PM
The City of Rancho Palos Verdes Planning Commission Meeting, February 10th, 2015	10:30 PM - 11:00 PM	The City of Rancho Palos Verdes Planning Commission Meeting, February 10th, 2015	10:30 PM - 11:00 PM	The City of Rancho Palos Verdes Planning Commission Meeting, February 10th, 2015	10:30 PM - 11:00 PM
The City of Rancho Palos Verdes Planning Commission	11:00 PM - 11:30 PM	The City of Rancho Palos Verdes Planning Commission	11:00 PM - 11:30 PM	The City of Rancho Palos Verdes Planning Commission	11:00 PM - 11:30 PM
The City of Rancho Palos Verdes Planning Commission	11:30 PM - 12:00 AM	The City of Rancho Palos Verdes Planning Commission	11:30 PM - 12:00 AM	The City of Rancho Palos Verdes Planning Commission	11:30 PM - 12:00 AM
Community Announcements	12:00 AM - 1:00 AM	Community Announcements	12:00 AM - 1:00 AM	Community Announcements	12:00 AM - 1:00 AM
Community Announcements	1:00 AM - 6:00 AM	Community Announcements	1:00 AM - 6:00 AM	Community Announcements	1:00 AM - 6:00 AM



PVPTV35 Programming Schedule Guide
Week of 2/16/15 to 2/22/15

Monday, Feb. 16

3:00PM

Palos Verdes Library Dist.

6:00PM

PVP Coordinating Council

7:00PM

PVPUUSD Board Meeting

Tuesday, Feb. 17

7:00PM

City of RPV City Council Meeting - Live (6 hour block)

Wednesday, Feb. 18

7:30PM

City of PVE Council Meeting, 2/10/15

Thursday, Feb. 19

7:30PM

No Programming

Friday, Feb. 20

6:00PM

PVP Land Conservancy Nature Walk

7:00PM

City of RPV City Council Meeting, 2/17/15

Saturday, Feb. 21

10:00 AM

City of PVE Planning Commission, 2/17/15

7:00PM

City of RPV Planning Commission, 2/10/15

Sunday, Feb. 22

7:00PM

City of RPV City Council Meeting, 2/17/15