MEMORANDUM

TO: HONORABLE MAYOR & CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

FROM: JOEL ROJAS, AICP, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR

DATE: APRIL 1, 2014

SUBJECT: PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE PALOS VERDES NATURE PRESERVE (SUPPORTS 2014 CITY COUNCIL GOAL – TRAIL SYSTEM ENHANCEMENT)

REVIEWED: CAROLYNN PETRU, ACTING CITY MANAGER

Project Manager: Ara Mihranian, AICP, Deputy Community Development Director

RECOMMENDATION

1. As requested by the PVPLC, enroll the recent City acquired 58-acre Malaga Canyon open space property into the City’s Palos Verdes Nature Preserve; and

2. Remove the 40-acre Archery Range Property owned by the City’s Successor Agency from the Palos Verdes Nature Preserve; and

3. Modify the Preserve boundary with Gateway Park which results in the inclusion of 7 additional acres into the Palos Verdes Nature Preserve; and

4. Direct Staff to bring back an amended City/PVPLC Management Agreement as a consent calendar item at the April 15th City Council meeting and an amended Public Use Master Plan (PUMP) document at a future City Council meeting that reflect these Preserve changes.

BACKGROUND

On February 28, 2014, pursuant to previous City Council authorization, the City took ownership of approximately 58 acres of open space in Malaga Canyon from two separate private property owners. The full cost of this open space acquisition was funded by federal and state grants and no City funds were expended toward the acquisition.
Now that Malaga Canyon is owned by the City, the PVPLC is requesting (see attached letter) that the City Council enroll the property into the City's Palos Verdes Nature Preserve (PVNP) which is part of the City's Natural Communities Conservation Plan (NCCP). By doing so, the PVPLC will oversee the management of this property similar to other City-owned properties in the Preserve that will lessen the City's maintenance responsibilities as discussed later in this report. Additionally, as two separate and unrelated proposals, the City Council is being asked by Staff to remove the 40-acre Archery Range Property from the Preserve and to reconfigure the Preserve boundary with Gateway Park.

If these proposed amendments to the NCCP Preserve are authorized by the City Council, Staff will return with an amended City/PVPLC Management Agreement on April 15th and an amended PUMP document at a future City Council meeting.

DISCUSSION

1. **Enrolling Malaga Canyon into the City's NCCP Preserve**

In addition to preserving 58 acres of open space in perpetuity, the Malaga Canyon acquisition will facilitate implementation of several public trails identified in the City's Conceptual Trails Plan (CTP), as well as provide the City access to maintain the existing storm drain and sewer line. Although Malaga Canyon was not originally proposed to be included in the City's NCCP Preserve, during the acquisition process, the PVPLC Board of Directors expressed an interest in the property being enrolled in the Preserve and a conservation easement being granted in favor of the PVPLC. This is because the PVPLC has been involved for a number of years to have federal funds allocated for land conservation in this area. The PVPLC coordinated with the funding agencies and did the initial outreach to the property owners assessing their willingness to sell. This was done with the expectation that the land would be preserved in a similar manner to previous acquisitions, with a conservation easement granted to the Conservancy.

On March 14, 2014, the PVPLC formally requested that the City Council enroll the property into the City's NCCP Preserve (see attachment). By doing so, the land comes under the habitat management framework as described in the draft NCCP which is also summarized in the current Management Agreement between the PVPLC and the City. If enrolled in the Preserve, a conservation easement will need to be placed on the property in favor of the PVPLC, similar to other Preserve properties. The language of the conservation easement that will be placed on this property, as well as the other properties within the Preserve, has been the subject of ongoing discussions between Staff, the Land Conservancy and the Wildlife Agencies. The City's goal is to ensure that the conservation easement will not prevent the City or utility companies from repairing or maintaining the existing storm drain, sewer lines or other infrastructure that traverse the property. The City Council will need to approve the final language of the conservation easement before it is recorded on the various properties that are within the Preserve.

As stated in previous Staff Reports to the Council, there are City maintenance costs associated with the ownership of the Malaga Canyon open space. Some of these costs to
the City would be offset by the PVPLC if the property is enrolled into the City’s NCCP Preserve. These costs are described in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maintenance Task</th>
<th>Estimated Annual Cost</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>PVPLC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Modification</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entry Regulation Signs (initial Installation)</td>
<td>$750</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail Markers</td>
<td>$980</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trash Collection</td>
<td>No added cost if located near street</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitat Monitoring</td>
<td>$5,300</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranger Enforcement</td>
<td>No added cost</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cost</td>
<td>$19,030</td>
<td>$12,750</td>
<td>$6,280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown, if enrolled in the Preserve, the City’s ongoing maintenance costs will primarily involve performing annual brush clearance on the property. The cost of the Preserve Rules sign will be a one-time expenditure unless the signs are vandalized or removed. As for trash collection, since the trash receptacles will be located at trailheads adjacent to City streets, there will be no added cost as collection would be made a part of the neighborhood’s weekly collection. The same applies for ranger patrol time in Malaga Canyon which is anticipated to be minimal compared to other parts of the Preserve due to expected low level of use and will be included in its weekly patrol schedule.

In regards to trails, there are some portions of the Malaga Canyon property that include historically used social trails that generally correspond to the Conceptual Trails Plan (CTP). If the property is enrolled in the NCCP Preserve and these trails are included in an updated Preserve Trails Plan (PTP), the PVPLC will be responsible for installing the trail markers at the trail locations and performing the NCCP required habitat monitoring. In addition, trail maintenance projects, based on future needs, may be undertaken by the PVPLC as funding opportunities become available. Specifically, the Management Agreement states that “trail maintenance” and “trail repair” on unimproved trails in the City Council approved PTP is neither the City’s or the PVPLC’s obligation, but rather a permissive activity as determined necessary by the PVPLC and as funding becomes available. It should be noted that some new trail construction will be needed since a portion of one of the trails identified in the CTP presently does not exist. Per the Management Agreement, the City is responsible for new trail construction in the Preserve.

If the Council agrees to enroll the Malaga Canyon property into the City’s Preserve, the current Management Agreement between the City and the PVPLC will need to be amended to include the Malaga Canyon property. This would be a relatively simple task of changing an attachment to the Agreement to include Malaga Canyon. For this reason, Staff is recommending that the amended Agreement be placed on the April 15th City Council agenda for approval as a Consent Calendar item.
In addition, the City Council adopted PUMP will need to include this "Malaga Canyon Reserve." Staff intends to prepare a draft PUMP Amendment for the new "Malaga Canyon Reserve," solicit public input and then present the proposed PUMP Amendment, including an updated PTP, to the City Council for review and approval sometime this summer.

2. **Removal of the Archery Range Property From the Preserve**

The Abalone Cove Reserve is located between Palos Verdes Drive South and the coastline and consists of a 63-acre portion of the Abalone Cove Shoreline Park and a 40-acre parcel formally owned by the RDA and now owned by the Successor Agency to the RDA. This parcel is referred to as the Archery Range Property. Although the Archery Range Property has physical and other constraints that make habitat preservation challenging and restoration almost impossible, the property, which has some fragmented habitat (see attached vegetation map), was included in the City's NCCP Preserve. It was included because it is not developable and thus could facilitate wildlife movement to adjoining Preserve properties. However, based on recent circumstances, which are described below, Staff is now recommending that the 40-acre property be removed from the Preserve. It should be noted that an NCCP Conservation easement has not been recorded on said property and thus removal of the property from the Preserve is solely within the purview of the City Council.

The main reason why Staff is now recommending removal of the Archery Range Property from the Preserve is due to the ongoing landslide movement. The property is located in the most active portion of the Portuguese Bend landslide. Recent data has shown that the property is moving toward the ocean at varying rates of 2 to 20 feet per year. In addition to destroying existing habitat and making any of the NCCP required habitat restoration fruitless, this landslide movement has caused two homes and PVDS to migrate onto the property. While the City is in the process of trying to remove the homes and relocate a portion of the roadway located near Gateway Park back to its proper right-of-way, continued landslide movement will cause other improvements and nearby homes to eventually migrate onto the parcel over time. In addition, the City probably will need to grade the area and repair or replace storm drains on the property from time to time in an effort to mitigate landslide movement. Another reason for removal from the Preserve is that the property is encumbered by an easement that gives the adjacent Portuguese Bend Club the right to perform remedial grading on the parcel on as needed basis.

Staff has discussed this proposal with the State and Federal Wildlife Agencies that oversee the City's NCCP and both agencies have opined that removal of the property from the Preserve would not jeopardize the Agencies' ability to approve the City's final NCCP (see attached email correspondence).

If the Council agrees with removing the Archery Range Property from the Preserve, the current Management Agreement between the City and the PVPLC will need to be amended. This would be a relatively simple task of changing an attachment to the Agreement to reflect the revised boundary. For this reason, Staff is recommending that the amended Agreement be placed on the April 15th City Council agenda for approval as a Consent Calendar item. Lastly, if the property is removed from the Preserve, the existing
public trails would remain but be maintained by the City instead of the PVPLC. Additionally, there are no plans to discontinue the use of the archery range pursuant to its Conditional Use Permit.

In addition, the City Council-adopted PUMP will need to be amended to reflect this change in the Preserve. Staff intends to make this draft PUMP Amendment, as part of the PUMP amendment process described above for the inclusion of Malaga Canyon.

3. **Reconfiguration of the Preserve Boundary with Gateway Park**

Gateway Park, which was originally referred to as the Active Recreation Area (ARA), was identified in the 2004 NCCP to be approximately 25 acres in size at the southern tip of the Portuguese Bend Reserve. Thus, a 25-acre area was mapped by Staff in 2007 for developing the “site concept plan” for purposes of the Coast Vision Plan. Subsequently, the 2008 Council adopted Coast Vision Plan identified the park area to be the future home of an equestrian center with riding rings and public parking that would also serve as a trailhead to the Preserve. None of the proposed improvements would consist of permanent structures because of the active land movement in the area. The equestrian center improvements were envisioned to be sponsored by the local equestrian community. To date, no formal request to construct the improvements from the equestrian community has been received by the City.

Last year, Staff was made aware of a proposed project by the Public Works Department that would realign a segment of PVDS in the active Portuguese Bend landslide area. This caused Staff to re-examine the mapping of the proposed Gateway Park area. As a result of this, some of the previously mapped Gateway Park boundaries were modified so as not to interfere with this important project. While doing this, Staff also sought to address the question of area boundaries by matching the boundaries of Gateway Park with existing boundaries (roads and trails) to the maximum extent possible. As a result, Staff proposes reconfiguring Gateway Park to be approximately 17 acres in size with the approximately 7 acres removed going to the Preserve. Since Gateway Park is a product of the NCCP, these proposed changes have been reviewed and conceptually approved by the Wildlife Agencies and PVPLC.

If the Council agrees with reconfiguration of the Preserve Area around Gateway Park, the current Management Agreement between the City and the PVPLC will need to be amended to include the additional acreage into the Portuguese Bend Reserve property. This would be a relatively simple task of changing an attachment to the Agreement to reflect the revised boundary. For this reason, Staff is recommending that the amended Agreement be placed on the April 15th City Council agenda for approval as a Consent Calendar item.

In addition, the City Council-adopted PUMP will need to be amended to reflect this change in the Preserve boundary with Gateway Park. Staff intends to make this draft PUMP Amendment, as part of the PUMP amendment process described above for the inclusion of Malaga Canyon.
As for the Coast Vision Plan, if Gateway Park is reconfigured as recommended by Staff, the Vision Plan will eventually have to be updated to reflect the change to the boundary limits. Updating the conceptual design plan for this property identified in the Vision Plan will involve public workshops where the type of improvements, including the proposed equestrian center, may be considered.

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

*Public Notification*

On March 17, 2014, Staff issued a list-serve message announcing that the City Council would be considering tonight’s agenda item at its April 1, 2014 meeting. Additionally, upon the transmittal of this report to the City Council, Staff will update the website and issue a list-serve message announcing tonight’s meeting with a link to the Staff Report being considered by the City Council.

*Public Comments*

At this time, the City has received one public correspondence from Ms. Cicoria (see attachment) questioning the rationale for removing the Archery Range Property from the Preserve, which is addressed by Staff in the discussion section of this report. If additional public comments are received subsequent to the transmittal of this staff report, they will be provided to the Council at the meeting as late correspondence.

**FISCAL IMPACTS**

As previously reported, the City’s maintenance costs for Malaga Canyon are estimated to be $19,030. These costs would be reduced by $6,280 if the property is enrolled in the Preserve. Staff anticipates a small fiscal impact from removing the Archery Range Property from the Preserve since trail signage and maintenance on the property would become the responsibility of the City. Staff estimates this cost to be $500. Staff does not anticipate any fiscal impact from the reconfiguration of the Preserve boundary with Gateway Park.

**CONCLUSION**

Based on the information provided herein, Staff recommends that the City Council enroll the 58-acre Malaga Canyon property into the NCCP Preserve, remove the 40-acre Archery Range Property from the Preserve and reconfigure the Preserve boundary line with Gateway Park, thereby adding an additional 7 acres into the Preserve.

**ALTERNATIVES**

In addition to Staff’s recommendations, the City Council may consider the following alternatives to each independent recommendation:

1. Elect not to enroll Malaga Canyon into the City’s Preserve;
2. Elect not to remove the Archery Range Property from the Preserve;

3. Elect not to reconfigure the Preserve boundary line with Gateway Park; or,

4. Identify additional concerns and direct Staff to gather more information and continue the meeting to a date certain.

ATTACHMENTS

- March 14, 2014 PVPLC Letter
- Map of Malaga Canyon Property
- Map of Archery Range Property
- Email Correspondence Between Staff and Wildlife Agencies
- Map of Reconfigured Gateway Park
- Public Comments
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March 14, 2014

Joel Rojas  
City of Rancho Palos Verdes  
30940 Hawthorne Boulevard  
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275

Re: Purchase of Ya Yi May and Angeles LLC properties

Dear Joel,

The Palos Verdes Peninsula Land Conservancy has participated with you during the outreach to the property owners of the Ya Yi May and Angeles LLC properties as supported the appraisal process for these lands. We are thrilled that the City recently purchased these properties as we support the conservation of these 58± acres.

Our Board of Directors has discussed these properties and desires to have them enrolled in the pending Natural Community Conservation Plan (NCCP) and therefore become part of the Palos Verdes Nature Preserve. Enrollment in the NCCP would place these lands within the current framework of land management as identified in the draft NCCP. The habitat management would be provided by the PVPLC. Another outcome of enrolling these lands into the NCCP is that a conservation easement would be placed on the properties granted in favor of the Palos Verdes Peninsula Land Conservancy.

Please let me know if there is any additional information that you require in order to have this request considered. I may be reached at 310-541-7613 x 204.

Sincerely,

Andrea Vona  
Executive Director
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Hello

As we discussed, the City is proposing to not include the approximate 45-acre former RDA-owned Archery Range parcel in the final Preserve design for the following reasons:

1) Pursuant to the state law that dissolved RDA’s, all former RDA owned properties were required to be transferred to a Successor Agency who was then required to prepare a Long Range Plan for the disposition and use of said properties. The Long Range Plan must be approved by an Oversight Board and by the State Department of Finance. According to the Successor Agency’s Long Range Plan, the proposal for this property is to transfer ownership of the property to the City for continued public use. While the Oversight Board has approved said plan, approval from the Department of Finance is still forthcoming. Placing a conservation easement on this property as would be required of all Preserve properties would be problematic as such action would have to be approved by the Oversight Board and State Department of Finance, entities which the City has no control of and whose purpose is to preserve the value of said properties, something a conservation easement would likely not do.

2) The entire property is severely affected by an active landslide that would make any habitat restoration efforts required by the NCCP impossible to successfully complete. The property is located in the most active portion of the Portuguese Bend landslide. Movement on the property has been measured at 2 feet to 20 feet per year.

3) Because of the landslide movement, two homes and the City’s PVDS arterial highway have migrated onto the property. While the City is currently involved in litigation to remove the homes and the roadway can be moved back to its proper right-of-way, continued landslide movement will cause other nearby homes and the roadway to continue to migrate onto the parcel over time.

4) The property is encumbered by an easement that predates the RDA’s ownership that gives the adjacent Portuguese Bend Club the right to perform remedial grading on the parcel on as needed basis.

5) As shown on one of the attached aerials, about 38 acres of the approximate 40 acres that were slated to be in the Preserve, are zoned Open Space Hazard (OH) meaning that they would still be conserved as Neutral Lands.

6) As shown on one of the attached aerials, of the approximate 40 acres that were slated to be in the Preserve, there are only approximately 6 acres of CSS, all of which would be still be conserved as Neutral Lands.

7) Despite not being in the Preserve, the City intends to re-designate the land use of the property in the General Plan as Open Space Conservation, the same classification as the actual NCCP Preserve properties.

Therefore, the City requests confirmation from the wildlife agencies that not including said property in the Preserve will not compromise the NCCP findings that need to be made for final approval of the City’s NCCP.
Thanks and have a happy holiday break.

Joel
Sensitive Species
- California Gnatcatcher
- South Coast Saltscale (Atriplex Pacifica)

Vegetation
- CSS - Rhus Dominated
- CSS - Undifferentiated
- Rocky Shore/Intertidal
- Southern Coastal Bluff Scrub
- Grassland
- Developed
- Ocean
Hi Joel -

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) has reviewed your email of December 19, 2013, regarding the 45-acre former RDA-owned Archery Range parcel. We understand that the City is proposing to not include the parcel in the final Preserve design based on the reasons stated in the email below. Pursuant to the City’s requests, the Service confirms that even though the property is being removed from the City’s proposed Preserve, the currently proposed Preserve design remains consistent with the goals and objectives of the City’s NCCP/HCP.

Please let me or Eric know if you have any questions.

Thanks, Mary Beth

On Thu, Dec 19, 2013 at 5:25 PM, Joel Rojas <JoelR@rpv.com> wrote:

Hello

As we discussed, the City is proposing to not include the approximate 45-acre former RDA-owned Archery Range parcel in the final Preserve design for the following reasons:

1) Pursuant to the state law that dissolved RDA’s, all former RDA owned properties were required to be transferred to a Successor Agency who was then required to prepare a Long Range Plan for the disposition and use of said properties. The Long Range Plan must be approved by an Oversight Board and by the State Department of Finance. According to the Successor Agency’s Long Range Plan, the proposal for this property is to transfer ownership of the property to the City for continued public use. While the Oversight Board has approved said plan, approval from the Department of Finance is still forthcoming. Placing a conservation easement on this property as would be required of all Preserve properties would be problematic as such action would have to be approved by the Oversight Board and State Department of Finance, entities which the City has no control of and whose purpose is to preserve the value of said properties, something a conservation easement would likely not do.

2) The entire property is severely affected by an active landslide that would make any habitat restoration efforts required by the NCCP impossible to successfully complete. The property is located in the most active
portion of the Portuguese Bend landslide. Movement on the property has been measured at 2 feet to 20 feet per year.

3) Because of the landslide movement, two homes and the City’s PVDS arterial highway have migrated onto the property. While the City is currently involved in litigation to remove the homes and the roadway can be moved back to its proper right-of-way, continued landslide movement will cause other nearby homes and the roadway to continue to migrate onto the parcel over time.

4) The property is encumbered by an easement that predates the RDA’s ownership that gives the adjacent Portuguese Bend Club the right to perform remedial grading on the parcel on an as needed basis.

5) As shown on one of the attached aerials, about 38 acres of the approximate 40 acres that were slated to be in the Preserve, are zoned Open Space Hazard (OH) meaning that they would still be conserved as Neutral Lands.

6) As shown on one of the attached aerials, of the approximate 40 acres that were slated to be in the Preserve, there are only approximately 6 acres of CSS, all of which would be still be conserved as Neutral Lands.

7) Despite not being in the Preserve, the City intends to re-designate the land use of the property in the General Plan as Open Space Conservation, the same classification as the actual NCCP Preserve properties.

Therefore, the City requests confirmation from the wildlife agencies that not including said property in the Preserve will not compromise the NCCP findings that need to be made for final approval of the City’s NCCP.

Thanks and have a happy holiday break.

Joel

--

Thanks, Mary Beth

Mary Beth Woulfe
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Fish and Wildlife Biologist
Section 6 Coordinator
Ara Mihranian

From: Joel Rojas
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2014 11:03 AM
To: Ara Mihranian
Subject: FW: Coastal Port Bend

FYI

From: Rodriguez, Randy@Wildlife [mailto:Randy.Rodriguez@wildlife.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 26, 2014 11:40 AM
To: Woulfe, MaryBeth; Joel Rojas
Cc: Eric_Porter@fws.gov; Rodriguez, Randy@Wildlife; Andrea Vona (avona@pvplc.org); Jonathan Snyder; Mayer, David@Wildlife
Subject: RE: Coastal Port Bend

Hi Joel/All:

The Department has also reviewed your 12/19/13 e-mail on the former RDA parcel. We also confirm that even if the property is removed from the City’s NCCP preserve, the proposed preserve design would remain substantially consistent with the goals/objectives of the City’s draft NCCP/HCP that we expect to complete this year.

If you have any further questions, please let me or Dave know.

Thank you,

Randy

From: Woulfe, MaryBeth [mailto:marybeth_woulfe@fws.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 26, 2014 9:50 AM
To: Joel Rojas
Cc: Eric_Porter@fws.gov; Rodriguez, Randy@Wildlife; Andrea Vona (avona@pvplc.org); Jonathan Snyder
Subject: Re: Coastal Port Bend

Hi Joel -

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) has reviewed your email of December 19, 2013, regarding the 45-acre former RDA-owned Archery Range parcel. We understand that the City is proposing to not include the parcel in the final Preserve design based on the reasons stated in the email below. Pursuant to the City’s requests, the Service confirms that even though the property is being removed from the City’s proposed Preserve, the currently proposed Preserve design remains consistent with the goals and objectives of the City’s NCCP/HCP.

Please let me or Eric know if you have any questions.

Thanks, Mary Beth

On Thu, Dec 19, 2013 at 5:25 PM, Joel Rojas <JoelR@rpv.com> wrote:

Hello

As we discussed, the City is proposing to not include the approximate 45-acre former RDA-owned Archery Range parcel in the final Preserve design for the following reasons:
1) Pursuant to the state law that dissolved RDA’s, all former RDA owned properties were required to be transferred to a Successor Agency who was then required to prepare a Long Range Plan for the disposition and use of said properties. The Long Range Plan must be approved by an Oversight Board and by the State Department of Finance. According to the Successor Agency’s Long Range Plan, the proposal for this property is to transfer ownership of the property to the City for continued public use. While the Oversight Board has approved said plan, approval from the Department of Finance is still forthcoming. Placing a conservation easement on this property as would be required of all Preserve properties would be problematic as such action would have to be approved by the Oversight Board and State Department of Finance, entities which the City has no control of and whose purpose is to preserve the value of said properties, something a conservation easement would likely not do.

2) The entire property is severely affected by an active landslide that would make any habitat restoration efforts required by the NCCP impossible to successfully complete. The property is located in the most active portion of the Portuguese Bend landslide. Movement on the property has been measured at 2 feet to 20 feet per year.

3) Because of the landslide movement, two homes and the City’s PVDS arterial highway have migrated onto the property. While the City is currently involved in litigation to remove the homes and the roadway can be moved back to its proper right-of-way, continued landslide movement will cause other nearby homes and the roadway to continue to migrate onto the parcel over time.

4) The property is encumbered by an easement that predates the RDA’s ownership that gives the adjacent Portuguese Bend Club the right to perform remedial grading on the parcel on as needed basis.

5) As shown on one of the attached aerials, about 38 acres of the approximate 40 acres that were slated to be in the Preserve, are zoned Open Space Hazard (OH) meaning that they would still be conserved as Neutral Lands.

6) As shown on one of the attached aerials, of the approximate 40 acres that were slated to be in the Preserve, there are only approximately 6 acres of CSS, all of which would be still be conserved as Neutral Lands.

7) Despite not being in the Preserve, the City intends to re-designate the land use of the property in the General Plan as Open Space Conservation, the same classification as the actual NCCP Preserve properties.

Therefore, the City requests confirmation from the wildlife agencies that not including said property in the Preserve will not compromise the NCCP findings that need to be made for final approval of the City’s NCCP.

Thanks and have a happy holiday break.

Joel
Thanks, Mary Beth

Mary Beth Woulfe
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Fish and Wildlife Biologist
Section 6 Coordinator
2177 Salk Avenue, Suite 250
Carlsbad, California 92008
760.431.9440, ext. 294
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Ara Mihranian

From: cicoriae@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, March 20, 2014 10:26 AM
To: Ara Mihranian
Subject: Fwd: parcel seaward of Gateway Park

Ara, thank you for your prompt reply. The problem with this:

If the property is removed from the NCCP, Staff proposes that it remain as open space and change the land use designation to Open Space Conservation to ensure the property and trails remain open to the public.

is that it can change on a whim. I was hoping to understand the rationale for removing the NCCP protections before the staff report comes out. I won’t have more to say before then, so please convey my concerns to City Council by including this email stream in the packet for April 1.

Thank you.
Eva

-----Original Message-----
From: Ara Mihranian <AraM@rpv.com>
To: cicoriae <cicoriae@aol.com>
Sent: Wed, Mar 19, 2014 4:30 pm
Subject: RE: parcel seaward of Gateway Park

Hi Eva,

First off, I want to clarify that the City Council meeting is on April 1st not April 2nd. The list-serve message had the wrong date in the subject line but the correct date in the body of the message. The correct date will be cited in next week’s list-serve that announces the availability of the staff report.

You are correct, a sliver of inspiration point is part of what is referred to as the archery range property.

The Council has not made a decision on this proposed change and will be presented with the rationale for consideration at its April 1st meeting. In other words the property has not been removed. The Council may decide not to support Staff’s recommendation and keep the property enrolled in the NCCP preserve.

I am working on the Staff Report now. If the property is removed from the NCCP, Staff proposes that it remain as open space and change the land use designation to Open Space Conservation to ensure the property and trails remain open to the public.

More information will be in the April 1st City Council Staff Report. If you have concerns you would like the Council to review as part of the Staff Report, please send them to me by Tuesday next week.

Ara

Ara Michael Mihranian
Deputy Director of Community Development

---

30940 Hawthorne Blvd.
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275
Hi Ara,

I am concerned about this item in the recent listserv announcement for the April 2 City Council meeting:

"... the Council will be asked to amend, at a later date, the Management Agreement and the PUMP Document to reflect the removal of the Archery Club property from the Preserve. . ."

I believe that the reference to the "Archery Club property" includes a long stretch of property on the ocean side of Palos Verdes Drive South that extends from the Portuguese Bend Club to Inspiration Point. Can you confirm, please?

When was the "Archery Club property" removed from the Preserve? When was the public notified of a public hearing regarding its removal? Or has it not been removed and it will be discussed at the April 2 meeting?

When the Palos Verdes Nature Preserve was being formed, we were told that the goal was to set aside contiguous natural open space to the extent possible. The City committed to contribute certain lands to the cause, including the parcel you are calling the Archery Club property. Why is the City now seeking to remove it from the protections of the NCCP and what does the City plan to do with the parcel, in particular the section that actually includes the archery range and abuts, and based on the map I have seen includes part of, Inspiration Point?

Eva